



**HAL**  
open science

## A formal concept analysis and semantic query expansion cooperation to refine health outcomes of interest

Olivier Curé, Henri Maurer, Nigam Shah, Paea Le Pendu

### ► To cite this version:

Olivier Curé, Henri Maurer, Nigam Shah, Paea Le Pendu. A formal concept analysis and semantic query expansion cooperation to refine health outcomes of interest. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*, 2015, 15 (S1), 10.1186/1472-6947-15-S1-S8 . hal-01740526

**HAL Id: hal-01740526**

**<https://hal.science/hal-01740526>**

Submitted on 22 Mar 2018

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## RESEARCH

# A Formal Concept Analysis and Semantic Query Expansion Cooperation to Refine Health Outcomes of Interest

Olivier C Curé<sup>1\*</sup>, Henri Maurer<sup>2</sup>, Nigam H Shah<sup>3</sup> and Paea Le Pendu<sup>3</sup>

\*Correspondence:  
ocure@univ-mlv.fr

<sup>1</sup>Université Paris-Est, LIGM -  
UMR CNRS 8049, 5 bd Descartes,  
77454 Marne la Vallée, France  
Full list of author information is  
available at the end of the article

## Abstract

**Background:** Clinicians and researchers often use Electronic Health Records (EHRs) to search for, extract, and analyze groups of patients by defining health outcome of interests. Their definition is generally considered a complex and time consuming task for health care professionals.

**Methods:** In our work on pharmacovigilance using clinical notes, we operate over potentially hundreds of ontologies at once, expand the input query, and increase the search space over clinical text as well as structured data. This method requires specifying an initial set of seed concepts, based on concept unique identifiers. This paper presents a new method based on formal concept analysis and semantic query expansion to assist the end-user in defining their seed queries and in refining the expanded search space that it encompasses.

**Results:** We evaluate our method over a gold-standard corpus from the 2008 i2b2 Obesity Challenge. This experimentation emphasizes positive results for sensitivity and specificity measures. Our new approach provides better recall with high precision of the obtained results. The most promising aspect of this approach is the discovery of positive results not present our Obesity NLP reference set.

**Conclusions:** Together with a Web graphical user interface, our Formal Concept Analysis and Semantic Query Expansion cooperation end up being an efficient approach for refining health outcome of interest using plain terms. We consider that this approach can be extended to support other domains such as cohort building tools.

**Keywords:** Health outcome of interest; Ontology; Semantic Query Expansion; Formal Concept Analysis

## Background

In applications that use Electronic Health Records (EHRs), such as in drug safety surveillance or observational studies, groups of patients are selected, extracted, compared, and analyzed based on definitions of certain health outcomes of interest (HOIs) [1, 2]. Common examples of HOIs include myocardial infarction (MI), juvenile idiopathic arthritis, acute renal failure, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), or peripheral artery disease. While the entry points for a search may at times be obvious, their full definitions are anything but easy to obtain. In work that also incorporates clinical text as data inputs, such as discharge summaries or nurses notes, these definitions should also capture variations of terms that are likely to appear in written notes so that the text-mining process has good recall [3].

For example, there are at least 47 distinct ways that we have seen so far for saying ‘myocardial infarction’ that appears with frequency in real clinical notes. The challenge in defining an HOI arises because medical and health terminologies are numerous and complex. There are currently over 160 terminologies in the Unified Medical Language System (UMLS) Metathesaurus with over 2-million distinct Concept Unique Identifiers (CUIs) and over 6-million distinct strings related to health and medicine. Some of these, like the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM), are well-known and often used to define the selection criteria for health outcomes of interest. We have found that most clinicians and researchers will say that choosing from lists of ICD-9 or SNOMED CT codes, is not a good way to perform this task. The problem is that the organization and presentation of concepts in any one of these terminologies, let alone 160 of them, might be intuitive for one person yet completely obtuse to another.

This work addresses these challenges by enabling the user to specify their HOI using plain terms, much like an ordinary search query. We use Semantic Query Expansion (SQE) and Formal Concept Analysis (FCA) [4] to induce a lattice of concepts over hundreds of ontologies and terminologies at once and to find the best-matching concepts. Briefly, a lattice is a partially ordered set where every two elements have a least and greatest upper bounds. FCA is a machine learning technique that uses a lattice to reveal associations between elements of some predefined structure – in this case, a set of ontologies with hierarchies and mappings. SQE leverages the hierarchies and mappings to expand concepts to include all subsumed ones. The system aims for broad coverage initially and asks for feedback on the furthest matches at the highest points of the lattice so that the search space can be rapidly refined with minimal input from the user. At the same time the user is issuing queries and confirming or denying concept matches, we display search results that highlight snippets from clinical notes matching their HOI, which provides instant feedback for the user as they refine their HOI. We have implemented these tools as REST service Web APIs. Used together, FCA and SQE make it possible to order concepts semantically and search for matches that best reflect the intension of the user, *i.e.*, the medical concepts she wants to express through a set of proposed terms. Intuitively, the system aims initially for greater coverage and relies on user feedback to improve relevance. The user’s search query and feedback essentially helps to pinpoint and prune sections of the lattice until only those concepts that fit their intension remain. The amount of feedback can be minimized by measuring coverage of concepts at each major branch.

#### A previous method for myocardial infarction HOI

In [3], a query-driven approach called “2-hop” was used to create a set of HOIs for detecting drug-adverse event associations and adverse events associated with drug-drug interactions. The algorithm takes a set of concepts  $C$  and derives all subconcepts  $C'$  in each ontology  $O$  available in an ontology repository. This process is repeated one more time for all derived concepts  $C'$  to obtain another set of concepts denoted  $C''$ . Because concepts are mapped across ontologies, the process traverses simultaneously all ontologies that contain  $C$  (and  $C'$ ), thereby “hopping” across ontologies twice. With this approach,  $C''$  can capture more concepts from the

adjacent ontologies that would have otherwise been missed with a single iteration. In principle, recursion with a least fixed-point semantics would apply; however, recursion does not work well in practice because of differing abstraction levels among ontologies, which induce cycles. We have found that two hops achieve an adequate balance between soundness and completeness for the current use case.

This method was able to recognize events and exposures with enough accuracy for the drug safety use case. This accuracy was determined using a gold-standard corpus from the 2008 i2b2 Obesity Challenge. This corpus has been manually annotated by two annotators for 16 conditions and was designed to evaluate the ability of NLP systems to identify a condition present for a patient given a textual note. Moreover, this corpus was extended by manually annotating each of the events. Using the set of terms corresponding to the definition of the event of interest and the set of terms recognized by our annotation workflow in the i2b2 notes, we evaluate the sensitivity and specificity of identifying each of the events.

Although efficient, this method requires a significant amount of manual effort, *i.e.*, some medical experts have to provide concept identifiers, implying a deep knowledge of some ontologies. The goal of this work is to increase automation by only requiring from the medical experts to provide terms associated to their search. Our goal is also to retain/improve previous results.

#### Semantic Query Expansion Theory

One of the major assets of ontologies is the set of hierarchical relationships they often include. For every concept, a set of parent or super-concepts will usually induce a directed acyclic graph (DAG) structure for most biomedical ontologies. We can use standard graph traversal algorithms to compute the transitive closure and store the set of all ancestors and descendants of every concept.<sup>[1]</sup> SQE is the process of taking a set of concepts as a query and utilizing the transitive closure to expand that set to include all descendants or ancestors depending on whether the goal is to generalize or specialize the query.

#### Formal Concept Analysis Theory

FCA is the process of abstracting conceptual descriptions from a set of objects described by some attributes and finds practical application in fields such as data and text mining, machine learning, and knowledge management. Given a set of ontologies, we represent all objects and their attributes – including hierarchical relations – as a binary matrix. Using the standard machinery of FCA, a concept lattice can be generated from this matrix. Because every lattice is a partial order, FCA will group similar objects according to their attributes in an ordered manner. We utilize these properties to identify improbable relations that are not explicitly stated in the ontology as a means of ranking questions to the user that will cover the greatest benefit in narrowing the SQE search space.

More formally, FCA is based on the notion of a *formal context*, which is a triple  $\mathcal{K} = (G, M, I)$ , where  $G$  is a set of objects,  $M$  is a set of attributes and  $I$  is a binary

---

<sup>[1]</sup>We should note that ontologies in general can be more structurally complex than a DAG, in which case inference engines should be used to compute the subsumptions hierarchy in place of graph traversal algorithms.

relation between  $G$  and  $M$ , i.e.,  $I \subseteq G \times M$ . For an object  $g$  and an attribute  $m$ ,  $(g, m) \in I$  is read as “object  $g$  has attribute  $m$ .” Given a formal context, we can define the notion of *formal concepts*, where, for  $A \subseteq G$ , we define  $A' = \{m \in M \mid \forall g \in A : (g, m) \in I\}$  and for  $B \subseteq M$ , we define  $B' = \{g \in G \mid \forall m \in B : (g, m) \in I\}$ . A formal concept of  $\mathcal{K}$  is defined as a pair  $(A, B)$  with  $A \subseteq G$ ,  $B \subseteq M$ ,  $A' = B$  and  $B' = A$ . The hierarchy of formal concepts is formalized by  $(A_1, B_1) \leq (A_2, B_2) \iff A_1 \subseteq A_2$  and  $B_2 \subseteq B_1$ . The concept lattice of  $\mathcal{K}$  is the set of all its formal concepts with the partial order  $\leq$ .

This hierarchy of formal concepts obeys the mathematical axioms defining a lattice, and is called a *concept lattice* since the relation between the sets of objects and attributes is a Galois connection. A Galois connection plays an important role in lattice theory, universal algebras, and recently in computer science [5]. Let  $(P, \preceq)$  and  $(Q, \preceq)$  be two partially ordered sets (poset). A Galois connection between  $P$  and  $Q$  is a pair of mappings  $(\Phi, \Psi)$  such that  $\Phi : P \rightarrow Q$ ,  $\Psi : Q \rightarrow P$  and: (i)  $x \preceq x'$  implies  $\Phi(x) \succeq \Phi(x')$ , (ii)  $y \preceq y'$  implies  $\Psi(y) \succeq \Psi(y')$  and (iii)  $x \preceq \Psi(\Phi(x))$  and  $y \preceq \Phi(\Psi(y))$ , for  $x, x' \in P$  and  $y, y' \in Q$ .

## Methods

### Expansion using SQE

The user inputs a free-text query representing their HOI, such as ‘pituitary cancer’. The query is tokenized and matched against all synonyms of every concept from our set of ontologies. For UMLS, this results in a set of matching CUIs. This step is purely lexical and does not use any semantics. We utilize the structure of the ontologies to expand the search and identify matches such as ‘neoplasm’ or ‘tumor’ that are closely related to the search. We perform this expansion by navigating to all super-concepts in each ontology incrementally until we achieve a minimal cover of lexical matches. Broadening the query using SQE in this way will identify many closely related terms (i.e., increase coverage), but it may also introduce many unrelated ones (i.e., decrease relevance). Thus, we have to prune the expanded set aggressively to improve relevance, which we facilitate using FCA (described in more detail below).

In Figure 1, we provide an abstract representation of the ontology resulting from our methodology where the nodes  $m_i$ ,  $d_i$ ,  $p_i$  and  $c_i$  are all ontology concepts and respectively correspond to matching concepts, derived concepts using our method, potential concepts (i.e., derived ones that present sufficient qualities to be considered for further processing) and the remaining concepts. For example, the  $m$  concepts at the bottom represent the initial lexical matches, and the  $p$  concepts are the set of super-concepts that cover them. Based on the ‘poor’ coverage of  $d_1$  (perhaps because  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  seem too unrelated to  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ ) the user may be asked whether  $d_1$  is a fit, and if not, it is pruned (which automatically eliminates  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  and everything else subsumed by  $d_1$ ) otherwise it would become a potential one, i.e., just like  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ .

### Pruning using FCA

Table 1 provides an FCA lattice example using only hierarchical relations for a query on **Hypertriglyceridemia**. In the lattices we are producing with our approach, both objects (left value at each row) and attributes (top value of each

column) are ontology concepts with the attributes corresponding to the super concepts of the objects. This lattice uses internal identifiers: 10365 stands for the *Hyperpoproteinemia type IV* concept and 0 correspond to the top concept of our set of ontologies. Hence 10365 is a sub-concept of the concepts 0, 19118 and 740154. Intuitively, FCA can identify rectangles such as the one made of columns  $\{0,19118,740154\}$  and rows  $\{10365,12115\}$  or the one made of columns  $\{0,19118\}$ . These rectangles are formal concepts as introduced in Section . The FCA lattice is defined over these rectangles. Figure 2 displays the extract of the lattice corresponding to the table in Table 1.

One advantage of the FCA lattice is to provide a compact representation of the hierarchy that enables us to efficiently find the highest cut among concepts in our ontologies. Using this approach, we are able to obtain the maximal coverage of terms identified via lexical matching. It also enables us to identify concepts worth pruning in the following manner:

Let  $F_i = \langle O_i, A_i \rangle$  be a formal concept traversed with  $O_i$  and  $A_i$  respectively the set of objects and attributes. For each  $F_i$  traversed during our top-down navigation of the lattice, we create the two following lists: one denoted  $L_i^A$ , corresponding to the transitive closure of the sub-concepts for each element of  $A_i$  and another one denoted  $L_i^O$ , corresponding to the transitive closure of the sub-concepts of all  $O_i$ . We compute the intersection of  $L_i^O$  with each  $L_i^A$  and we use the ratio  $|L_i^A \cap L_i^O|/|L_i^A|$ . If this value is below a predefined threshold (denoted pruning threshold), e.g., 75%, then we consider that the considered  $A_i$  concept is not relevant to the search, i.e., it has too many sub-concepts not corresponding to sub-concepts of the matched concepts. Otherwise it is relevant and we store it in a candidate list which will be proposed later on to the physician.

**Example (hypercholesterolemia):** Using *hypercholesterolemia* as an example search query and a set of 18 ontologies, we identify 20 objects and 102 attributes initially, as in Figure 1. This induces a lattice of 67 formal concepts. Its top most formal concept contains all 67 objects with an empty attribute set. The lattice's second level has 2 formal concepts, one with 23 objects (and one attribute) and another one with 17 objects (and one attribute). Both of these concepts have too many sub-concepts not corresponding to the set of sub-concepts of our 20 original objects, hence they are pruned. At the fourth level of the lattice, we discover a first potential concept contained in a formal concept containing 9 objects and 9 attributes one of which has a 75% ratio, i.e., satisfying our pruning threshold. It has 16 sub-concepts out of which only 4 are not covered by the sub-concepts of the 9 objects sub-concepts. Some of these 4 concepts could be unrelated, so we drill down further, identify the specific area of the lattice with the smallest ratio, and ask the user whether this concept is a fit, if not, we prune the lattice above and work at this lower level instead until the user is satisfied. In the example, the labels of the 4 non-covered concepts are: *hypercholesterolemia*, *cholesterolosis*, *secondary hypercholesterolemia* and *hyperlipidemia*.

#### Web-based API

Figure 3 presents a web-based interface using the APIs for SEQ and FCA based search and refinement. The user provides and receives feedback in multiple ways

(numbered from 1 to 4). First of all, the physician enters some terms associated to her search (area #1) and runs the query (area #2). The terms are sent to REST service APIs, which uses SQE and FCA to identify those concepts that best fit the query, and those that require confirmation from the user. The concepts are also simultaneously matched against a pre-indexed set of clinical notes (area #3), which place those concepts within the context of their real-world use. The user can then confirm or deny the correctness of the match and update the search (area #4). The number of patients is also displayed in area #3, informing the user on how many hits are being discovered.

## Results

In [3], the online Supplementary Data S3 reports that the “2-hop” method identifies HOIs with a sensitivity of 74% and a specificity of 96% for the i2b2 Obesity NLP reference set, henceforth i2b2 obesity. Our evaluation is performed on this same dataset in order to enable a comparison of our method. As such, we consider the set of terms associated to the 16 different conditions surveyed in i2b2 Obesity and retrieve from our database the corresponding concept identifiers. Given this setting, we are able to compute the sensitivity and specificity of our approach. This is presented in Table 2. The experiments have been conducted on commodity hardware running a Java implementation using a MySQL database instance, we thus consider that there are rooms for performance gains.

The analysis of our method results is given in 3 dimensions: sensitivity, specificity and processing duration (not including end-user interactions). The obtained statistical measures are encouraging with averages of sensitivity and specificity of respectively 77.3 and 99.1%, hence improving on the results of the less automatized “2-hop” solution. In the first hand, these values have to be considered in the context of a fast processing of potential terms, i.e., duration in seconds range from 0.6 to 67.3. The 2 order of magnitude between the slowest and fastest executions are explained by the size of the matching concepts retrieved from the query patterns, the size of their subsumption relationship transitive closure and the structure of the associated FCA lattice. The slowest, i.e. Diabetes mellitus, involves the computation of matrix of more than 330 objects and 7000 attributes resulting in an FCA lattice of more than 3000 formal concepts out of which most candidates concepts are pruned. In the second hand, the matching and candidate concepts are proposed to the physician for acceptance and rejection. Hence, through an intuitive and user-friendly interface, she is able to easily improve the sensitivity measure. An evaluation on the efficiency and interactivity of the Web interface has yet to be conducted on with physicians on real case scenarios.

Finally, we consider for some of the false negative concepts discovered by our method may end up being positive propositions. Moreover, these propositions come from both the matching (e.g., “Sitosterolemia for hypercholesterolemia” for hypercholesterolemia) and potential (e.g., “h/o: raised blood, familial hyperlipoproteine-mia”, “fh: raised blood lipids” for hypercholesterolemia while the gold standard contains concepts such as “hyperlipoproteinemia type ii”) concepts which confirms the relevance of using a semantic approach. Note that among our true positive, depending on the use case, a significant number of items have been retrieved from the potential concept set, i.e., using our FCA statistical approach.

## Conclusions

We have presented a novel, semi-automatic solution for defining health outcomes of interest. Our work is inspired by previous, manually-intensive work done for the purpose of text-mining clinical notes from EHRs. Our approach is composed of a cooperation between Semantic Query Expansion, to leverage the hierarchical structure of ontologies, and Formal Concept Analysis, to organize, reason, and prune discovered concepts. We implemented a RESTful API and a graphical Web-based interface to illustrate the process that users would follow to browse data and refine their HOI query rapidly. A preliminary evaluation of this work emphasizes positive results for sensitivity and specificity measures. The most promising aspect of this approach is the discovery of positive results not present our i2b2 Obesity NLP reference set. Thus, this approach provides better recall with high precision of the obtained results.

Some of our future goals include (i) conducting user driven evaluation of the Web interface, (ii) analyzing the acceptance/rejection of physicians in several practical scenarios, (iii) using active learning over past query refinements to improve future queries, and (iv) studying our method impact's on mining EHRs clinical notes or cohort building tools.

### Abbreviations

API: Application Programming Interface, i2B2: Informatics for Integrating Biology & the Bedside, FCA: Formal Concept Analysis, HOI: Health Outcome of Interest, NLP: Natural Language Processing, SQE: Semantic Query Expansion

### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### Author's contributions

OCC, PLP and NHN drafted the manuscript. OCC and PLP designed the study. PLP and NHN collected data. HM, OCC and PLP were responsible for study conceptualization and implementation of the software. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. . . .

### Acknowledgements

The research was supported by the FRANCE-STANFORD center for interdisciplinary studies grant.

### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Université Paris-Est, LIGM - UMR CNRS 8049, 5 bd Descartes, 77454 Marne la Vallée, France. <sup>2</sup>University of Edinburgh, , Edinburgh, Scotland. <sup>3</sup>Stanford University, BMIR Lab, Stanford, , Palo Alto, USA.

### References


1. Carnahan, R.M., Moores, K.G.: Mini-sentinel systematic reviews of validated methods for identifying health outcomes using administrative and claims data: methods and lessons learned. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf* **21 Suppl 1**, 82–9 (2012)
2. Stang, P., Ryan, P., Racoosin, J., Overhage, J., Hartzema, A., Reich, C., Welebob, E., Scarnecchia, T., Woodcock, J.: Advancing the science for active surveillance: rationale and design for the observational medical outcomes partnership. *Ann Intern Med* **153**(9), 600–6 (2010)
3. Lependu, P., Iyer, S.V., Bauer-Mehren, A., Harpaz, R., Mortensen, J.M., Podchiyska, T., Ferris, T.A., Shah, N.H.: Pharmacovigilance using clinical notes. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* (2013)
4. Ganter, B., Wille, R.: *Formal Concept Analysis - Mathematical Foundations*, pp. –1284. Springer, ??? (1999)
5. Davey, B.A., Priestley, H.A.: *Introduction to Lattices and Order* (2. Ed.), p. 298. Cambridge University Press, ??? (2002)

### Figures

[scale=0.65]diagram1.png

**Figure 1** Overview of ontology concept hierarchy

### Tables



	0	19118	740154	6260	...
10365	1	1	1	0	
12115	1	1	1	0	
10406	1	1	0	0	
191723	1	1	0	0	
...					

**Table 1** Hypertriglyceridemia FCA matrix extract

**Table 2** i2b2 Obesity NLP reference evaluation

Condition	Se	Sp	D(s)
Asthma	92.7%	99.1%	3.8
CAD	75.5%	99.4%	5.0
Congestive heart failure (CHF)	74.2%	99.4%	5.5
Depression	69.9%	100%	5.6
Diabetes mellitus	82.0%	99.2%	67.3
Gallstones / Cholecystectomy	81.2%	98.7%	4.9
GERD	56.2%	100%	4.8
Gout	94.4%	100%	8.7
Hypercholesterolemia	82.4%	100%	4.9
Hypertension	82.6 %	98.6%	8.4
Hypertriglyceridemia	60.0%	98.8%	0.9
Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA)	100 %	100 %	1.5
Osteoarthritis	61.2%	98.9%	2.3
Peripheral vascular disease	66.3 %	99.4%	1.1
Venous insufficiency	77.8%	99.1%	2.8
Obesity	86.1%	99.2%	0.6
<b>Average</b>	<b>77.3%</b>	<b>99.1%</b>	<b>8.0</b>

Notes: Se (Sensitivity), Sp (Specificity), D (Duration), CAD (Atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease), GERD (Gastroesophageal reflux disease)