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Abstract
Viscosity, density and glass transition temperature measurements were performed on the simple PbO-SiO₂ system with increasing PbO content from 5 to 70 mol.%. The results of these analyses have been complemented by spectroscopic studies (Raman & X-Ray Absorption Spectroscopy) in order to link macroscopic properties to structural information at short- and middle-range order. A strong decrease of the viscosity is observed even with the incorporation of 5 mol.% PbO, and this decrease goes on with the increase of PbO content. This effect is confirmed by the continuous decrease of the glass transition temperature with increasing PbO content. Based on our interpretations of Raman spectra, which show similarities to alkali or earth-alkaline-silicate glasses, these effects appear to arise from depolymerization of the silica network. Consequently, lead acts as a network modifier even at low content. Although, it could form PbO₄ tetrahedra when it is present in large quantities, it cannot be asserted that lead acts as a network former at high lead content.
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Highlights
• Lead silicate glasses were made from 95 to 30 mol.% SiO₂.
• Pb cation acts as network modifier even above the orthosilicate composition (more than 65 mol.% PbO).
• Viscosity and deconvolution of all Raman spectra in the PbO-SiO₂ system are presented.
1. Introduction

Flint glass (old nomination of lead crystal) is very well known and used for a very long time in particular for optical application, because they display a high refractive index and low Abbe number (high dispersion). These high refractive index glasses are also highly sought-after because they can imitate precious stones and objects of great value. This kind of glasses corresponds to the work made by the very well-known factories of the “art de la table” such as Baccarat, St Louis, Daum or Lalique. Lead metal is a heavy element also used for radioprotection against high energy radiations, and its radioprotection quality works also when lead is incorporated in glass. Then, lead-bearing transparent materials can be manufactured to protect workers from radiation in nuclear sites, and also to monitor radioactive materials. Moreover, lead (Pb) is a very common metal used in many supplies and objects, and domestic wastes may contain lead in large quantities (Karnis and Gautron, 2009) then requiring to take lead into account for waste management. Lead is also an element of major interest in many systems in Earth Science since it is an important marker used to date geological processes through its isotopes (radiogenic $^{206}$Pb, $^{207}$Pb and $^{208}$Pb, non-radiogenic $^{204}$Pb). In fact, by using isochron dating, it was found that the most accurate age of the earth is obtained by demonstrating that the earth’s uranium-lead system belongs to the array of meteoritic uranium-lead systems (see Patterson, 1956). The partitioning of these isotopes inside the magmas and silicate melts observed at the Earth surface gives key constrains on geochemical and geophysical processes occurring in the deep Earth.

Lead silicate glasses are interesting because lead is the only element for which it is possible to make glass with a very large range of SiO$_2$ content from 100% down to less than 30% of silica (Jak et al., 1997). These glasses have already been investigated by several research groups from a thermodynamic point of view (Bockris and Mellors, 1956; Nesbitt and Fleet, 1980; Hess, 1974 and Gupta 1995) or by a spectroscopic approach (Furukawa et al., 1978; Worrell and Henshall, 1978; Smets and Lommen, 1982; Wang an Zhang, 1996; Ohno et al., 1991 and Feller 2010; Lee and Kim, 2015). Despite these studies, the role of Pb is still debated. Using X-Ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS), Smets and Lommen (1982) showed that there is a decrease of the fraction of Bridging Oxygen (BO: one oxygen binding two silicon atoms) with the increase of PbO content. The BO fraction goes from 0.66 to 0.19 for 30 to 70 mol.% PbO incorporated respectively. Based on these results and comparing to alkali-silicate systems, there is no complete depolymerization of the silicate network near the orthosilicate composition (presence of BO). These authors suggest that Pb keeps its role of network modifier and that the silicate network is preserved. By using the same technique (XPS), Wang and Zhang (1996) observed that the BO binding energy decreases slowly down to a PbO content of 40 mol.%, and then, it decreases abruptly and keeps on decreasing with the increase of
the PbO content. However, the binding energy of the Non-Bridging Oxygens (NBO: one oxygen linked to a network former (Si, Ge,...) and a network modifier (Na, Ca,...)) displayed the same trend (then abrupt decrease) as BO down to 40 mol.% PbO, then, it remains constant while the PbO content increases. The authors attribute this behavior to another type of NBO which are linked to two Pb in the form of Pb-O-Pb. Based upon these assumptions, they propose that the lead silicate glass network is built with PbO₄ pyramids when the PbO content is higher than 40 mol.%; in this scenario, Pb acts as network former. The ability of Pb atoms to form covalent PbO₄ pyramids has already been discussed by different works (Morikawa et al. (1982) from X-ray diffraction analysis; Furukawa et al. (1978), Worrell and Henshall (1978) and Ohno et al. (1991) from Raman spectroscopy investigations) and more details are given in the discussion section. In order to remove all ambiguities, we propose a new name for this type of oxygen: these NBO linked to two Pb, will be called POP oxygens. This POP oxygen is different from the so-called “free oxygen” which is cited in previous works (Bockris and Mellors, 1956; Nesbitt and Fleet, 1980 and Hess, 1974). These free oxygens correspond to oxygen atoms (O²⁻) solely bonded to Pb atoms to form Pb-O and whose proportions increase above the orthosilicate composition (then more than 65 mol.% PbO). Moreover, to explain the thermodynamic phenomena occurring in the PbO-SiO₂ system, Bockris and Mellors (1956), Nesbitt and Fleet (1980) and Hess (1974) used theoretical models based on the equation (O⁰²⁻ + O²⁻ = 2 O⁻) to explain the depolymerization of the SiO₂ network. In the present work, we want to merge these two approaches on the same glass composition to bring complementary information between macroscopic properties and nano-structural changes investigated by Raman spectroscopy.

In the different works on lead silicate glass referenced in this study, the glass synthesis were performed from reagent grades PbO and SiO₂ or Pb₃O₄ and SiO₂ (as indicated by Furukawa et al., 1978). The different mixtures were melted in platinum crucibles except to Wang and Zhang (1996) who used silica crucibles. Most of these studies were limited to a range of compositions between 30 to 70 mol.% SiO₂, according to the PbO-SiO₂ phase diagram drawn by Geller et al. (1934). Geller et al. (1934) took their investigations for compositions up to 66 mol.% SiO₂. Jak et al. (1997) proposed an optimized phase diagram with compositions up to pure silica. Based on a thermodynamic optimization of the experimental liquidus and activity data, Jak et al. (1997) predict the existence of a miscibility gap for the SiO₂-rich compositions, but this gap has not been experimentally confirmed. Thus, it is agreed that the lower silica content limit depends on the quenching rate in order to avoid crystallization and the upper silica content limit is determined by the phase separation (Bansal and Doremus, 1986; Hess, 1974). At a laboratory scale, we do agree with the lower limit, however we don’t agree with the upper limit since we could synthesize lead-bearing glasses with up to 90 to 95 mol.% SiO₂. Through various works and by using different spectroscopic techniques, Furukawa et al.
Wang and Zhang (1996), Fayon et al. (1998) highlighted the following results: i) at high SiO₂ content (low lead concentration, PbO < 40 mol.%), the glass structure is close to the structure of alkali-silicate glasses and lead acts as a network modifier then implying that the glass network even depolymerized is still built with SiO₄ tetrahedra; ii) at low SiO₂ content (high lead concentration, PbO > 60 mol.%), lead acts as a network former with PbOₙ (n=3,4) polymeric chains. Note that whether it is network former or modifier, the redox state of Pb remains (+2) (Fayon et al., 1998). In order to determine the role of lead as network modifier and/or former, the effects of increasing PbO content on PbO-SiO₂ glasses have been investigated through viscosity measurements, glass transition temperatures determination and Raman spectroscopy. All the results were compared to pure SiO₂ glass as a reference to explain the observed evolution.

2. Experimental method

2.1 Starting materials

In order to overcome the problem of the PbO volatilization, the glass synthesis were performed in two steps: i) first, a large batch of approximately 1.2 kilograms of PbSiO₃ has been synthesized by melting mixtures of Pb₂O₄ and SiO₂ powders in a platinum-rhodium crucible according to a standard process in the Baccarat factory: a slow rise of temperature from room temperature to 900°C (140°C above the melting point (Jak et al., 1997)) during 9h (100°C/h) followed by a 9 hours plateau, then the liquid is poured in a metallic mold. After that, the glass is ground for 1h under ethanol in an agate mortar; ii) secondly, different glasses have been prepared by mixing the initial Baccarat PbSiO₃ glass with SiO₂ or PbO powders. The different compositions of this binary system are named PAX.00 where X corresponds to the SiO₂ content in mol.%, then the PbO content in mol.% is 100–X. Prior to mixing, both SiO₂ and PbSiO₃ powders are dried at different temperatures (1000°C and 200°C respectively) before weighting in the right proportions in order to obtain the expected compositions. All compositions were made with 100 grams of powders, which is grinded and melted again 3 times to ensure a good homogeneity. All melting operations were made in covered platinum crucible and heated at different temperatures from 1000°C to 1650°C as a function of silica content. The crucible was weighed before and after fusion and there was no significant mass loss. All samples were quenched by partially immersing the crucibles in pure water, excepted for the glass PA95.00, which was obtained using aerodynamic levitation technique (Neuville et al., 2014) and by melting 15 mg of small pieces of PA95.00 crystals already-heated at 1650°C. The heating procedure was repeated until no crystallization could be detected by optical microscope and Raman spectroscopy.

To check the homogeneity of the glasses, a mean of 10 density measurements (different pieces of the same sample) were made with Archimedean method using toluene as immersion liquid (Table...
1). Chemical compositions have been measured by using Cameca SX100 electron microprobe at the Camparis platform (University Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris) (Table 1) with the following operating conditions: 10 nA probe current, 15 kV accelerating voltage and 10 s of counting. Chemical compositions correspond to the mean of 10-20 individual measurements.

2.2 Viscosity measurements

Viscosity measurements were made with the creep apparatus described by Neuville and Richet (1991) and Neuville (2006). This creep apparatus allows viscosity measurements from $10^8$ to $10^{15}$ Pa.s in a temperature range between 573 K and 1173 K. The measurements were carried out on glass cylinders (3 to 9 mm in diameter and 6 to 13 mm long), obtained by coring the annealed glass. The cylinders were polished in order to obtain two parallel faces and placed between two pistons. With the aim to have a homogenous temperature along the sample, two Pt$_{90}$Rh$_{10}$ thermocouples are placed at the top and the bottom giving a temperature difference lower than 0.2 K. In our measurements, we have changed the applied stress from 4 MPa up to 800 MPa in order to confirm the Newtonian behavior of deformation (Neuville, 2006). A mean of eight different stresses were used at each temperature, four stresses in loading and four other in unloading. For each temperature and by changing the stress, 20 to 40 measurements were obtained and each point on a viscosity curve corresponds to the mean of them. After the experiments, a typical regular cylinder shape for all the samples was observed. By consequence, it can be confirmed that both temperature and deformation were homogenous.

2.3 Glass transition temperatures

The glass transition temperature, $T_g$, can be determined from the Tamman-Vogel-Fulcher (TVF) equation of viscosity (using $T_{gvis} = T12$ isokom ($\eta = 12 \text{ log Pa.s}$)), and were also measured by a 96 LINE TGA-DTA/DSC (SETARAM) with a constant heating rate (5K/min). The results are listed in Table 3 as $T_{gvis}$ and $T_{gDSC}$ respectively.

2.3 Raman Spectroscopy

Non-polarized Raman spectra were recorded by using a T64000 Jobin-Yvon Raman spectrometer equipped with a confocal system, a 1024 charge-couple detector cooled by liquid nitrogen and an Olympus microscope. The optimal spatial resolution allowed by the confocal system is 1-2 µm$^2$ with a x100 Olympus objective, and the spectral resolution is 0.7 cm$^{-1}$. The excitation source operating at
100 mW on the sample, was the 488 nm line of a coherent 70-C5 Ar⁺ laser. Raman spectra were acquired between 20 and 1400 cm⁻¹ with integration time of 300 s.

The treatment of the spectra was made on the base of the change occurring on the SiO₂ spectrum when we introduce PbO. The baseline was subtracted following previous works (Furukawa et al., 1978; Worrell and Henshall, 1978; Neuville et al., 2014). The Raman spectra were normalized to the area in order to compare the evolution of the spectra (only one normalization was undertaken even for deconvolutions). In order to study the structure of glasses, the deconvolutions were focused on the area located around 1000 cm⁻¹, which is characteristic of SiO₂ stretching vibration (Furukawa et al., 1981; McMillan, 1984; Mysen and Frantz, 1994; Frantz and Mysen, 1995, Neuville and Mysen, 1996, Neuville, 2006). Using the method of minimization of least squares (Seifert et al., 1982; Mysen et al., 1982, Mysen 1990) and the randomness of the residuals, the spectra were deconvoluted by adding Gaussian bands so that their sum models the real envelope. As mentioned above, the deconvolutions were implemented based on the fitted curve of SiO₂ by 3 bands (Mysen et al., 1982, Seifert et al., 1982; Neuville and Mysen, 1996). The others bands were added as a function of the evolution of the envelope. During curve-fitting, all bands parameters (frequency, half-width and intensity) are independent and unconstrained.

2.4 X-ray Absorption Near Edge Structure, XANES, spectra at the Pb L₃-edge

The XANES spectra have been collected at the Pb L₃-edge, 13035 eV, at ODE (Dispersive Optics EXAFS) beamline (SOLEIL, France). ODE is an energy dispersive beamline with a bent Si (111) polychromator crystal at the focal point of which the sample is placed. The beam size was 30 x 30 μm (Full Width at Half Maximum, FWHM). Due to the fixed energy–position correlation in the diffracted energy band, a complete absorption spectrum was obtained from measurements of the intensity distribution on a position-sensitive detector. The Si (111) bend polychromator provides an energy resolution of ~ 1 eV at 13 keV. After calibration (by converting pixel position to energy), the background was removed and the signal normalized at the Pb L₃-edge using the Athena software (Ravel and Newville, 2005).

3. Results

3.1 Density and Molar Volume

Varshneya and Tomozawa (1994), considered a glass as a solution. As volume is the fundamental property whose variations can shed light on structural changes, it is more useful to check the evolution of the glass structure in terms of molar volume rather than density. Then, the density and
molar volume are plotted in Figure 1. It can be seen that the value of density increases from 2.20 \times 10^3 Kg.m^{-3} for silica glass up to 7.301 \times 10^3 Kg.m^{-3} for PA30.00. The density is in good agreement with previous calculated and experimental data of Nesbit and Fleet (1980) and Bockris and Mellors (1956) respectively. Furthermore in Figure 1, the evolution of the molar volume can be described in three parts: at high SiO$_2$ content (90-100 mol.%) the molar volume is nearly constant at about 27.30 \times 10^{-6} m^3.mol^{-1}; from 90 to 40 mol.% SiO$_2$, there is a significant decrease from 27.30 to 23.84 \times 10^{-6} m^3.mol^{-1} (about 13% decrease); and below 40 mol.% SiO$_2$ a slight increase from 23.84 to 24.34 \times 10^{-6} m^3.mol^{-1} is observed. The fall of molar volume around 40 mol.% SiO$_2$ is in agreement with previous data from Bockris and Mellors (1956), even though our measurements were made at room temperature, while Bockris and Mellors (1956) made their measurements at 1000 °C.

3.2 Viscosity measurements

The values of all viscosity measurements carried out near the glass transition temperature are reported in Table 2 and plotted in Figure 2 as a function of reciprocal temperature ($10^4/T$, in K$^{-1}$). Each temperature in the $\eta$ versus $10^4/T$ plot, was applied with different stresses in order to verify that each composition well follows a Newtonian behavior. This feature proves that no crystallization is occurring during viscosity measurements, which is confirmed and checked by density measurements before and after each viscosity measurement. In Figure 2, we observe a strong difference between the most viscous composition (PA90.00) and the less viscous one (PA30.00), higher than 400 K at constant viscosity. This 400 K difference corresponds to the difference between two isokom temperatures (temperatures determined at constant viscosity). Thus, T12 isokom is the temperature when the viscosity is equal to 12 log Pa.s. A very different Arrhenian behavior is also visible as a function of silica content, where the non-Arrhenian behavior appears more and more pronounced with decreasing SiO$_2$ content. This non-Arrhenian behavior was based on the full viscosity curve of SiO$_2$ glass from Urbain et al. (1982) (low viscosities, in the melt; high viscosities, on glass). All viscosity curves were fitted with a TVF equation, $log \eta = A + B/(T-T_1)$, in order to calculate the glass transition temperature (by considering $T_gvis = T12$). $A$, $B$ and $T_1$ are adjustable parameters and are given in Table 3, together with the glass transition temperatures obtained from viscosity and Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) measurements. Both ($T_gvis$ and $T_gDSC$) are plotted in Figure 3 as a function of SiO$_2$. In the latest, we observe the huge effect of increasing PbO content on lowering $T_g$. This effect is also visible on the glass transition temperature (Figure 3 insert). Since it was not possible to produce a piece of PA95.00 large enough for viscosity measurements, its $T_g$ is determined only by DSC. We observe a very good agreement between the two techniques and we can show a full set of $T_g$ variation between pure SiO$_2$ and very depolymerized glass, PA30.00.
3.3 Raman spectroscopy

Normalized Raman spectra between 200 and 1300 cm$^{-1}$ are plotted in Figure 4 as a function of composition. We observe that the Raman spectra display a strong change as a function of silica content. Three distinct parts are clearly visible in these Raman spectra: a low frequency part between 200 and 650 cm$^{-1}$, an intermediate part between 650 and 850 cm$^{-1}$ and a high frequency envelope (850 to 1300 cm$^{-1}$) characteristic of Si-O-Si stretching vibrations (Brawer and White (1977), Mysen et al. (1982), Seifert et al. (1982), Mc Millan (1984) and Neuville et al. (2014)).

Moreover, Raman spectra are made at very low frequency (20-200 cm$^{-1}$, Figure 5), around the boson peak area. In the case of lead silicate glasses, the boson peak (at 70 cm$^{-1}$) of SiO$_2$ glass disappears when adding 5 mol.% of PbO and a peak appears at 141 cm$^{-1}$ with a shoulder at 100 cm$^{-1}$ in the glass PA95.00. These two peaks appear directly with the incorporation of 5 mol.% PbO and they increase in intensity with increasing PbO content until PA50.00. From 50 mol.% PbO incorporated in the silicate network, the intensity of the peak at 141 cm$^{-1}$ increases continuously whereas the shoulder at 100 cm$^{-1}$ remains constant.

The low frequency part, 200-650 cm$^{-1}$: in the pure SiO$_2$ glass, we observe a strong band at 490 cm$^{-1}$ shouldered by another band at 450 cm$^{-1}$ and a third band at 600 cm$^{-1}$. These bands are characteristic of vitreous silica and have already been reported in different works (Bell and Dean, 1972; Seifert et al, 1982; Neuville and Mysen, 1996; Le Losq et al., 2014). These 3 bands are usually assigned to the vibration of the Bridging Oxygen (BO) associated with higher-membered rings of tetrahedra in the three-dimensional silicate networks (Sharma et al., 1981, 1985; Galeener, 1982a,b; Neuville et al. (2014) for review). Thus, these bands at 600 cm$^{-1}$, 490 cm$^{-1}$ and 450 cm$^{-1}$ correspond to three-, four-, and 5 and 6 membered rings of tetrahedra and are respectively called D2, D1 and R-band (Neuville et al, 2014). This difference between these bands comes from a typical T-O-T bond angles for each band according to the central force model of Sen and Thorpe (1977). In the present study, a rapid decrease of these 3 bands is observed with increasing PbO content until PA80.00 where a very large band then appears at 500 cm$^{-1}$. Matson et al. (1983) have shown that the incorporation of alkali element (Li, Na, Cs, K and Rb) between 5 and 30 mol% in silica glass, produces similar changes as those observed in lead silicate glasses in the present work. However, in this work, we observe a homogenous decrease of these three bands (bands decreasing at the same time) while it is heterogeneous in the study of Matson et al. (1983).
**Intermediate frequency region, 650-850 cm\(^{-1}\):** in this region, we only observe an asymmetric broad envelope at 800 cm\(^{-1}\) which continuously decreases and shifts to lower frequencies with increasing PbO content until 50 mol.% PbO (Table 4), then, it disappears. This envelope specific to the network of SiO\(_2\) glass is attributed to the Si-O bond stretching involving oxygen motions in the Si-O-Si plane to which the oxygen atom moves perpendicularly (McMillan et al., 1994).

**High frequency region, 850-1300 cm\(^{-1}\):** in this region, the spectra of lead silicate glasses display similar changes as those observed in the low frequency region, with a peak broadening and a shift to lower frequencies with increasing lead content (Figure 4). For pure SiO\(_2\), there are two broad peaks, one near 1050 cm\(^{-1}\) and an even less pronounced peak centered near 1200 cm\(^{-1}\). These two peaks are also visible in the PA95.00 Raman spectrum, but with less resolution than in the spectrum of pure SiO\(_2\) (see Figure 4, Figure 6). For the glasses with more than 5 mol.% of PbO, the high frequency region consists of an asymmetric band at 1000 cm\(^{-1}\) with a shoulder near 950 cm\(^{-1}\). The 1000 cm\(^{-1}\) band eventually disappears with further increase of lead content, whereas the 950 cm\(^{-1}\) peak grows rapidly. In addition, we observe that the peak intensity at 1000 cm\(^{-1}\) is increasing from PA90.00 to PA80.00 where it remains constant until PA50.00, while the peak intensity at 1200 cm\(^{-1}\) continues to decrease until it disappears at PA60.00. With regard to the shoulder at 950 cm\(^{-1}\), it continues to increase in intensity and width. For the three spectra at high lead content, there is an envelope composed of a broad peak at 930 cm\(^{-1}\) and a shoulder at 840 cm\(^{-1}\). All of these observations in the high frequency region were the starting point of the Raman spectra deconvolution.

**The Raman spectra deconvolutions:** it is well known that the peak areas do not give the Q species concentrations totally (\(Q\) is a tetrahedrally coordinated cation) (Mysen, 1988), even if the model approximates well the shape of the high frequency envelope. But in these systems, we can assume that the relative cross sections do not change with composition as a first approximation (Mysen et al., 1982). The Raman spectrum of pure silica corresponds to a fully polymerized network and one of the first interpretations was developed by Seifert et al. (1982) based on the central-force model (Sen and Thorpe, 1977; Galeener, 1979). Seifert et al. (1982) showed that two different structures coexist with different inter-tetrahedral angles of these two units. Coexisting three-dimensionally interconnected structures in vitreous SiO\(_2\) have subsequently been found consistent with results from a range of spectroscopic studies (see Mysen, 1988, for review and summary of such models). More recently, this model was confirmed by several authors, using Raman spectroscopy (Le Losq and...
Neuville, 2013, Le Losq et al., 2014, and Neuville et al., 2014 for a review). In the present study, this model can be confirmed by the existence of 2 units, one with large angle, $Q^I$, at high frequency close to 1220 cm$^{-1}$ and the second with small angle, $Q^{II}$, at lower frequency, around 1150 cm$^{-1}$. The proportion of these 2 bands, $Q^I$ and $Q^{II}$, varies as a function of composition (as shown in Figure 6, and as previously demonstrated by Seifert et al. (1982), Neuville and Mysen (1996), Le Losq et al. (2014)). The third band at 1061 cm$^{-1}$ is attributed to $T_{2s}$ (see Figure 6), where $T_{2s}$ is related to the vibrational mode of $TO_2$ units (Le Losq et al., 2014) and corresponds to two oxygen atoms moving closer to the central Si atom while the two other oxygen atoms move away. As the frequency of this band does not change along the different compositions, we assume that the Si-O stretching bond generating this $T_{2s}$ band is different from the Si-O stretching of the $Q^n$ bands, where $Q$ is a tetrahedrally coordinated cation with $n$ Bridging Oxygens, BO, and 4-$n$ Non-Bridging Oxygens, NBO.

With the incorporation of a little quantity of PbO (PA95.00, 5 mol.% PbO) and comparing with pure SiO$_2$ spectrum, we observe the decrease of $Q^I$ band with the apparition of two new bands at 1030 cm$^{-1}$ and 970 cm$^{-1}$ (see Figure 6). Based on these observations and the modification of the bands at low frequencies, we believe that here we observe the process of depolymerization of the silicate network with the creation of non-bridging oxygens replacing bridging oxygens. So, the first band at 1030 cm$^{-1}$, can be assigned to Si-O$^-$ stretching in structural units $Q^3$ with one non-bridging oxygen and the second band at 970 cm$^{-1}$ can be assigned to $Q^2$ with two non-bridging oxygens. To the best of our knowledge, and except for Ohno et al. (1991) who present a deconvolution of an irradiated sample which displays 66 mol.% SiO$_2$, the attribution of the bands as it is done in this work, has never been proposed before for the PbO-SiO$_2$ system.

For the other compositions with increasing lead content, the depolymerization of the silicate structure still goes on and we observe successively the formation of $Q^1$ and $Q^0$ species with the loss of $Q^4$ above the lead metasilicate composition. These observations are in a good agreement with those reported by Neuville et al. (2014) in silicate glasses with different alkali and earth-alkaline elements. Furthermore, the variation of these deconvolutions of Raman spectra are quite similar to those observed from Si NMR spectra by Maekawa et al. (1991) and Fayon et al. (1998), for alkali silicates and lead silicates respectively. After the deconvolutions reported in the present study, the evolution of these different bands frequencies is plotted as a function of the silica content in Figure 8. The shift of the frequency of $Q^4$ and $Q^3$ bands (Figure 8) is more important than for $Q^2$ and $Q^1$, then confirming the shifts of the spectra in Figure 4.

3.4 XANES, spectra at the Pb L$_3$-edge
Long discussions and assumptions have been made about the role, valence, coordination number of Pb in glasses and melts. To try to answer these points, we have obtained some XANES spectra at the Pb L\textsubscript{3} edge on ODE beamline at SOLEIL light source. From XANES spectra plotted in Figure 7, we observe that the edge and features of PbSiO\textsubscript{3} glass are similar to alamosite mineral PbSiO\textsubscript{3} rather than plattnerite. For alamosite mineral PbSiO\textsubscript{3}, Pb is in valence 2+ and in four fold coordination. The similarity between the glass and the mineral leads us to think that in our glasses, Pb is a divalent cation in four fold coordination according to the crystalline reference and previous work (Fayon et al., 1999). Secondly, the XANES spectra are not really affected by the increase of PbO content in silicate, excepted for the first EXAFS oscillation which shows a slight increase in energy with increasing PbO content: that feature reflects that the medium-range organization around Pb is changing a little with increasing PbO content, and probably the Pb-O-Pb fraction could be increasing with the PbO content according to Lee and Kim (2015).

4. Discussion

4.1 Viscosity, glass transition temperature

In the last section, we have presented rheological data and Raman spectroscopy data of lead silicate glasses with silica content from 30 mol.% up to 95 mol.%. Both viscosity and glass transition temperature display a strong decrease when PbO is added to the silica glass. Furthermore, in the insert of the Figure 3, we have compared the glass transition temperatures of our glasses, which have been calculated with TVF equation, \( T_{g\text{vis}} \), and measured with DSC calorimeter with a heating rate of 5K/min, \( T_{g\text{DSC}} \), to those obtained by Neuville and Richet (1991) for Ca and Mg silicate glasses with 50 mol.% of silica and by Neuville (2005, 2006) for Na, Ca and Sr silicate glasses with 60 mol.% SiO\textsubscript{2}. With 10 mol.% PbO, the glass transition temperature decreases by more than 500 K: this effect is less important than for Na\textsubscript{2}O added in silica melts as observed by Leko et al. (1977) and Bockris et al. (1955). However, when considering the glass transition temperature, \( T_g \), this difference between Na\textsubscript{2}O and PbO becomes smaller when the content of network modifier is getting higher: for example, with 40 mol.% of Na\textsubscript{2}O or PbO, the effect on the glass transition temperature is very close, 698 K for soda silicate compared to 725 K for lead silicate glass (Neuville, 2006 for Na, and this work for Pb). Moreover, the effect of breaking network and the creation of non-bridging oxygen atoms, are clearly visible in Figure 3. It is likely that the increasing role of PbO in the network breaking could be due to its weight. This assumption could be confirmed by comparing the lead glass transition temperature to those of earth-alkaline elements like Mg, Ca, Sr with 50 and 60 mol% SiO\textsubscript{2} (Neuville, 2006, 2005 and Neuville and Richet, 1991) and in this case also, we observed an important effect that may be attributed to the high weight and the low strength field of Pb. In fact, the ionic radius for Pb\textsuperscript{2+} is \( \sim 1.0 \)
to 1.3Å depending on coordination number (from 4 to 8) (Shannon, 1976) while Ca^{2+} is 1.0 to 1.1Å and Sr^{2+} is 1.2 to 1.3Å. So its field strength should be similar to Ca and Sr, while its mass (207.2 g.mol\(^{-1}\) vs 87.6 g.mol\(^{-1}\) for Sr, 40.1 g.mol\(^{-1}\) for Ca and 23 g.mol\(^{-1}\) for Na) is much greater. The effect of the element weight is clearly visible, because Pb^{2+} produces almost the same effect on the glass transition temperature than that produced by Na\(_2\)O, which is around 300 K more than for the heavier alkaline earth elements. However, two Na = 46 g.mol\(^{-1}\) ~ Ca, so then it should be close to Ca, but, it’s not the case. Thus, depolymerization is more effective by two Na cations than a single Pb cation. Several authors (Furkawa et al, 1978 ; Worrell and Henshal, 1978; Zahra et al, 1993, Fayon et al., 1999) have shown that Pb can be a network former (above 60 mol.% PbO), but based upon this glass transition temperature behavior it is difficult to justify their conclusions. Indeed, even at low silica content, glass transition temperature continues to decrease.

4.2 Fragility

Plazek and Ngai (1991) have defined the fragility as the gradient of the viscosity curve as a function of the reduced temperature, at the glass transition temperature. Angell (1991) proposed a classification of liquids between strong and fragile. A strong liquid shows a linear variation of log \( \eta \) versus \( T_g/T \), while this linear relation is not preserved in a fragile liquid. The configurational entropy does control the fragility of silicate melts. The viscosities of the lead silicate melts are plotted as a function of \( T_g/T \) in Figure 9. The liquid with the highest silica content (PA90.00) displays the strongest behavior while the most fragile liquid is characterized by the highest PbO content (PA30.00). All the other chemical compositions present an intermediate behavior between PA90.00 and PA30.00. The configurational heat capacity (\( C_{p,conf} \)) is calculated as a difference of the heat capacity of the glass at \( T_g \), \( C_{p,g}(T_g) \), and the heat capacity of the liquid, \( C_{p,l} \) determined from Richet and Bottinga (1985). Partial molar heat capacity of SiO\(_2\) for the calculations of \( C_{p,g}(T_g) \) was taken from Richet and Bottinga (1985) and the value of partial molar heat capacity of crystalline PbO was taken from Robie et al., (1978). The configurational heat capacity displays an increase of about 20% when PbO is added to the silica glass between PA95.00 and PA50.00, and a small decrease between PA50.00 and PA30.00. For the configurational heat capacity between PA95.00 and PA50.00, the results are in good agreement with the variation of the slope of the curve log \( \eta \) versus \( T_g/T \) which increases with the PbO content. However, the results between PA50.00 and PA30.00 are different and underline the limits of the calculation method.

4.3 Network polymerization

When adding few percent of PbO in silica glass, we observed that the viscosity decreases by
several orders of magnitude (Figure 2 and Figure 3). This finding suggests an increase of the disorder in the glass and/or a change in the glass network polymerization. Neuville and Mysen (1996) have shown that the variation in viscosity and/or configurational entropy can be directly correlated with structural changes observed in the Raman spectra of the glasses. From Raman spectroscopy, the network polymerization is evaluated in terms of $Q^n$ species.

Figure 4 presents Raman spectra of lead silicate glasses. As mentioned above, we focused on 3 different regions: 200-650 cm$^{-1}$, 650-850 cm$^{-1}$, 850-1300 cm$^{-1}$, each corresponding to different types of vibrations resulting from differences in the organization of the glass structure. The high frequency part is deconvoluted into Gaussians attributed to $Q^n$ species. Figure 10 presents the area of the $Q^n$ species plotted as a function of SiO$_2$ content. The $Q^4$ intensity drops a lot because the area of ($Q^{4.1} + Q^{4.8}$) is not divided by the full envelope of SiO$_2$ (at high frequency), as we consider that the Gaussian band at 1060 cm$^{-1}$ ($T_{2s}$ in Figure 6) is not a part of $Q^n$ species. Thus, $Q^4$ is 100% for pure SiO$_2$.

Discussion still remains about this $T_{2s}$ band. This band has been attributed to vibrations involving bridging oxygen atoms in structural units, which do not need to be fully polymerized (Mysen et al. 1982), or to vibrations of Si-O doublets associated with earth-alkaline elements (Fukumi et al. 1990; McMillan et al. 1992). However, these latter bands are present all along the SiO$_2$-MO join (Mysen et al. 1982; Seifert et al. 1982; McMillan, 1984; Neuville et al. 2004, 2006, 2008 and 2014 for a review).

The presence of lead in the silicate glass affects the frequency of the T-O-T stretching vibration, in the same order than those observed by Frantz and Mysen (1995), where the heavier the M element is and more the $Q^n$ species vibrations are at low frequency.

The area of the $Q^n$ species as a function of silica content (Figure 10), shows similar variation trend than that observed for alkali or earth-alkaline elements when added to SiO$_2$ (Neuville et al. 2014), where $Q^4$ decreases from pure SiO$_2$. $Q^3$ shows an increase from 95 mol.% of silica with a maximum at 80 mol.% of SiO$_2$. $Q^2$ species appear at around 90 mol.% of silica and show a maximum at 50 mol.% of silica and decrease at lower silica contents. All $Q^n$ species display expected variations as a function of silica content, and follow this reaction $2Q^n \Leftrightarrow Q^{n-1} + Q^{n+1}$ with $1=n=3$, which characterizes the depolymerization processes of glasses and melts. Our results on lead silicate glasses follow a variation similar to that observed from NMR spectroscopy (Maekawa et al., 1991; and Fayon et al. ,1998).

4.4 Very low frequency observations, and assumptions about the role of Pb in silicate glasses

The peaks (at 100 cm$^{-1}$ and 141 cm$^{-1}$ (Figure 5))have been already observed in Raman spectra of lead silicate glasses in different previous studies (Worrell and Henshal, 1978; Furkawa et al, 1978; Ohno et al, 1991; Zahra et al, 1993; Feller et al, 2010). Through the comparison undertaken on lead
silicates glasses and lead silicates crystals by Furkawa et al. (1978) and on lead oxides by Worrell and Henshal (1978) and Zahra et al. (1993), the 141 cm\(^{-1}\) peak has been attributed to a covalent Pb-O-Pb bond in an interconnected tetragonal pyramid PbO\(_4\). Also, this peak at 141 cm\(^{-1}\) can be correlated to the NMR results of Lee and Kim (2015) who observed that the proportion of Pb-O-Pb increases with the PbO content. The charge balancing in the PbO\(_4\) pyramids was discussed in several X-Ray diffraction analysis (Morikawa et al., 1982, Imaoka et al, 1986). Different models are presented in these studies (PbO\(_4\) zigzag chains from Morikawa et al. (1982), screw chains PbO\(_n\) polyhedra (n = 3 or 4) from Imaoka et al. (1986)), but in general the bonds connecting Pb\(^{2+}\) ions and oxygen atoms are not equivalent (usually two are covalent and the two others are secondary). Concerning the band at 100 cm\(^{-1}\), it has been attributed to ionic Pb-O bond which implies the presence of Pb\(^{2+}\) compensated by O\(^{2-}\) (Worrell and Henshal, 1978; Ohno et al., 1991). The attribution of this band can be confusing with the description of the Pb-O bond (as presented in the introduction section) from Bockris and Mellors (1956), Nesbitt and Fleet (1980) and Hess (1974) who presented a free oxygen as an oxygen atom (O\(^{2-}\)) solely bonded to Pb atoms and whose proportions increase above the orthosilicate composition. As the Pb-O band at 100 cm\(^{-1}\) remains constant along the PbO-SiO\(_2\) join and as the Pb-O-Pb band at 141 cm\(^{-1}\) increases above the orthosilicate composition, we suggest that the “free oxygen” as described by Bockris and Mellors (1956), Nesbitt and Fleet (1980) and Hess (1974), corresponds to the POP oxygen presented in the introduction section.

5. Conclusion

PbO-SiO\(_2\) glasses and melts have been investigated by using viscosimetry and Raman spectroscopy. Glasses with compositions ranging from 50 to 95 mol.% of SiO\(_2\) are quite easy to synthesize, but below 50 mol.% of SiO\(_2\) it is more difficult, because of a rapid crystallization. Viscosity measurements and glass transition temperature determination show a strong decrease with increasing PbO content. This feature is well correlated with the network depolymerization observed by Raman spectroscopy, which gives the evolution of \(Q^4\) species from \(Q^4\) down to \(Q^0\). This is also well correlated with the variation of the fragility, which is increasing with the increase of PbO content. XANES spectroscopy suggests that Pb could display a divalent cation in silicate glasses and stay in four fold coordination. From all the observations reported in this work, we do not have the possibility to decide on the role of Pb as network former or not. In the Raman spectra, a strong band is clearly visible at 141 cm\(^{-1}\) and is attributed to a tetragonal pyramid, PbO\(_4\), but such tetragonal pyramids are expected to have no effect on the decreases of the glass transition temperature and of the fragility observed when PbO is added in silicate glass. The fragility continues to decrease above lead-orthosilicate compositions. These tetragonal pyramids only modify the molar volume of Pb silicate
glasses, which appears to increase at high PbO content. Through the different results present here and mainly the Raman bond at 141 cm$^{-1}$, it can be tempting to jump to the conclusion that glass formation in the PbO-SiO$_2$ system is not arrested by the breakdown of the SiO$_2$ network, because this network is replaced by a PbO network. However, through the Raman deconvolutions, we suggest that even at high PbO content, a silicate network is preserved. Moreover, a four-fold coordination number for Pb in a glass could not be proposed as a proof to be a network former.
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Table 1: Chemical compositions and density of lead-silicate glasses (*Uncertainties are given in parentheses*).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>wt.% SiO₂</th>
<th>wt.% PbO</th>
<th>d (10³ kg.m⁻³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PA95.00</td>
<td>85.04 (67)</td>
<td>12.07 (45)</td>
<td>2.420 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA90.00</td>
<td>68.30 (20)</td>
<td>29.09 (39)</td>
<td>2.810 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA80.00</td>
<td>50.66 (82)</td>
<td>48.19 (85)</td>
<td>3.470 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA70.00</td>
<td>38.76 (33)</td>
<td>61.13 (70)</td>
<td>4.241 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA60.00</td>
<td>28.34 (14)</td>
<td>72.04 (52)</td>
<td>5.130 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA50.00</td>
<td>20.49 (10)</td>
<td>80.41 (60)</td>
<td>5.974 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA40.00</td>
<td>15.33 (12)</td>
<td>84.65 (51)</td>
<td>6.630 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA33.00</td>
<td>10.69 (12)</td>
<td>87.72 (1.15)</td>
<td>7.089 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA30.00</td>
<td>9.22 (24)</td>
<td>88.72 (0.29)</td>
<td>7.301 (6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Viscosity measures performed on PA.X.Y glasses. The viscosities are in log Pa.s and T in K. The error on measures is equal to 0.02 log Pa.s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PA90.00</th>
<th>PA80.00</th>
<th>PA70.00</th>
<th>PA60.00</th>
<th>PA50.00</th>
<th>PA40.00</th>
<th>PA30.00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>log η</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>log η</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>log η</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>log η</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>920.6</td>
<td>12.41</td>
<td>840.8</td>
<td>12.49</td>
<td>760.9</td>
<td>13.09</td>
<td>716.4</td>
<td>12.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>931.2</td>
<td>12.12</td>
<td>859.2</td>
<td>11.77</td>
<td>770.8</td>
<td>12.62</td>
<td>726.1</td>
<td>11.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>952.1</td>
<td>11.58</td>
<td>867.6</td>
<td>11.46</td>
<td>774.2</td>
<td>12.44</td>
<td>735.2</td>
<td>11.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>961.5</td>
<td>11.34</td>
<td>877.7</td>
<td>11.14</td>
<td>781.6</td>
<td>12.04</td>
<td>743.4</td>
<td>10.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>972.4</td>
<td>11.09</td>
<td>888.6</td>
<td>10.83</td>
<td>790.2</td>
<td>11.58</td>
<td>753.6</td>
<td>10.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>983.1</td>
<td>10.86</td>
<td>899.2</td>
<td>10.57</td>
<td>802.3</td>
<td>11.11</td>
<td>758.2</td>
<td>10.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>992.9</td>
<td>10.66</td>
<td>905.7</td>
<td>10.37</td>
<td>805.3</td>
<td>11.01</td>
<td>771.9</td>
<td>9.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1005.4</td>
<td>10.46</td>
<td>912.4</td>
<td>10.15</td>
<td>814.2</td>
<td>10.60</td>
<td>780.6</td>
<td>9.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1015.0</td>
<td>10.30</td>
<td>924.6</td>
<td>9.86</td>
<td>820.6</td>
<td>10.34</td>
<td>789.4</td>
<td>8.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1025.5</td>
<td>10.11</td>
<td>937.3</td>
<td>9.61</td>
<td>823.5</td>
<td>10.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1036.1</td>
<td>9.91</td>
<td>947.4</td>
<td>9.36</td>
<td>834.3</td>
<td>9.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1056.1</td>
<td>9.53</td>
<td>959.8</td>
<td>9.08</td>
<td>843.8</td>
<td>9.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1068.8</td>
<td>9.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1087.2</td>
<td>9.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: TVF parameters of the viscosity calculated with TVF equation, $T_{gDSC}$ determined with DSC with a heating rate of 5K/min. Data in log Pa.s and K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PA95.00</th>
<th>PA90.00</th>
<th>PA80.00</th>
<th>PA70.00</th>
<th>PA60.00</th>
<th>PA50.00</th>
<th>PA40.00</th>
<th>PA33.00</th>
<th>PA30.00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A$</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>-7.61</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>-14.199</td>
<td>-25.41</td>
<td>-16.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B$</td>
<td>4801.6</td>
<td>3329</td>
<td>8129.3</td>
<td>2337</td>
<td>8939.6</td>
<td>15651</td>
<td>7174</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_g$</td>
<td>504.6</td>
<td>552.2</td>
<td>368.3</td>
<td>533.5</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>215.9</td>
<td>351.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{gvis}$</td>
<td>935.2</td>
<td>853.0</td>
<td>782.7</td>
<td>724.8</td>
<td>672.2</td>
<td>634.1</td>
<td>604.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{gDSC}$</td>
<td>1305.8</td>
<td>961.9</td>
<td>854.5</td>
<td>800.1</td>
<td>748.1</td>
<td>700.4</td>
<td>657.1</td>
<td>635.1</td>
<td>624.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4: Frequencies of peaks obtained by differentiation of Raman spectra and Raman bands obtained from the deconvolution fits (data in cm\(^{-1}\)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SiO(_2)</th>
<th>PA95.00</th>
<th>PA90.00</th>
<th>PA80.00</th>
<th>PA70.00</th>
<th>PA60.00</th>
<th>PA50.00</th>
<th>PA40.00</th>
<th>PA33.00</th>
<th>PA30.00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\nu_{340})</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>141</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu_{490})</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>482</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu_{600})</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>597</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu_{800})</td>
<td>798</td>
<td>795</td>
<td>793</td>
<td>788</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>781</td>
<td>772</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s_{340})</td>
<td></td>
<td>843</td>
<td>832</td>
<td>830</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s_{350})</td>
<td>959</td>
<td>953</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>947</td>
<td>942</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>935</td>
<td>921</td>
<td>919</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu_{1000})</td>
<td>1033</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>1013</td>
<td>1009</td>
<td>1002</td>
<td>992</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu_{1060})</td>
<td>1061</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu_{1200})</td>
<td>1205</td>
<td>1180</td>
<td>1175</td>
<td>1166</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>1141</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(V , Q^I)</td>
<td>1215</td>
<td>1216</td>
<td>1203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(V , Q^II)</td>
<td>1165</td>
<td>1164</td>
<td>1164</td>
<td>1164</td>
<td>1158</td>
<td>1142</td>
<td>1131</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(V , T_{2s})</td>
<td>1061</td>
<td>1056</td>
<td>1059</td>
<td>1062</td>
<td>1061</td>
<td>1057</td>
<td>1063</td>
<td>1059</td>
<td>1059</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(V , Q^I)</td>
<td>1029</td>
<td>1019</td>
<td>1017</td>
<td>1015</td>
<td>1011</td>
<td>1004</td>
<td>990</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(V , Q^II)</td>
<td>972</td>
<td>966</td>
<td>963</td>
<td>964</td>
<td>965</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>960</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(V , Q^I)</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>906</td>
<td>901</td>
<td>892</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>893</td>
<td>897</td>
<td>897</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(V , Q^II)</td>
<td>844</td>
<td>835</td>
<td>829</td>
<td>827</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(F , Q^I)</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(F , Q^II)</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(F , T_{2s})</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(F , Q^I)</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(F , Q^II)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(F , Q^I)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>102</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(F , Q^II)</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A , Q^I)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A , Q^II)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A , T_{2s})</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A , Q^I)</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A , Q^II)</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A , Q^I)</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A , Q^II)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\nu\) & \(s\): peak and shoulder’s frequency. V. F. A correspond respectively to the frequency, the full width at half maximum and area.
**Figures Captions:**

Figure 1. Variation of the density and molar volume as a function of mol.% SiO$_2$, open symbol and star correspond, respectively, to the results from Nesbitt and Fleet (1980) and Bockris and Mellors (1956).

Figure 2. Viscosity measurements (in log Pa.s) of PA.X.00 samples as a function of the reciprocal temperature.

Figure 3. Evolution of glass transition temperature as a function of mol.% SiO$_2$ calculated from the TVF equation of viscosity measurements and determined by DSC. Values for Ca and Mg silicate glasses with 50 mol.% of silica are from Neuville and Richet (1991); for Na, Ca and Sr silicate glasses with 60 SiO$_2$ mol.%, the results are from Neuville (2005, 2006).

Figure 4. Unpolarized Raman spectra for lead-silicate glasses normalized in area.

Figure 5. Very low frequency part of the Raman spectra of lead-silicate glasses.

Figure 6. Deconvolution of the 850-1300 cm$^{-1}$ Raman spectra of the lead-Si silicate glasses.

Figure 7. XANES spectra at the Pb L$_3$ edge for alamosite crystal and lead silicate glasses.

Figure 8. Variation of band and shoulder frequencies as a function of silica content.

Figure 9. Viscosity of lead silicate glasses as a function of $T_g/T$.

Figure 10. The evolution of the different $Q^0$ species as a function of mol.% SiO$_2$. 
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