



Liouville Quantum Gravity on the Riemann sphere

François David, Antti Kupiainen, Rémi Rhodes, Vincent Vargas

► **To cite this version:**

François David, Antti Kupiainen, Rémi Rhodes, Vincent Vargas. Liouville Quantum Gravity on the Riemann sphere. Communications in Mathematical Physics, Springer Verlag, 2016, 342 (3), pp.869-907. <<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00220-016-2572-4>>. <hal-01081085>

HAL Id: hal-01081085

<https://hal-upec-upem.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01081085>

Submitted on 6 Nov 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Liouville Quantum Gravity on the Riemann sphere

François David ^{*}, Antti Kupiainen [†], Rémi Rhodes [‡], Vincent Vargas [§]

Thursday 6th November, 2014

Abstract

In this paper, we rigorously construct $2d$ Liouville Quantum Field Theory on the Riemann sphere introduced in the 1981 seminal work by Polyakov **Quantum Geometry of bosonic strings**. We also establish some of its fundamental properties like conformal covariance under $PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -action, Seiberg bounds, KPZ scaling laws, KPZ formula and the Weyl anomaly (Polyakov-Ray-Singer) formula for Liouville Quantum Gravity.

Key words or phrases: Liouville Quantum Gravity, Gaussian multiplicative chaos, KPZ formula, KPZ scaling laws, Polyakov formula.

MSC 2000 subject classifications: 81T40, 81T20, 60D05.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Liouville quantum gravity	2
1.2	Relation with discretized $2d$ quantum gravity	4
1.3	Summary of our results	5
2	Background	7
2.1	Metrics on the sphere \mathbb{R}^2	7
2.2	Log-correlated field and Gaussian free fields	8
2.3	Gaussian multiplicative chaos	9
3	Liouville Quantum Gravity on the sphere	11
3.1	Seiberg bounds and KPZ scaling laws	13
3.2	Conformal covariance, KPZ formula and Liouville field	18
3.3	The Liouville measure	20
3.4	Changes of conformal metrics, Weyl anomaly and central charge	21

^{*}Institut de Physique Théorique, CNRS, URA 2306, CEA, IPhT, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.

[†]University of Helsinki, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, P.O. Finland. Supported by the Academy of Finland

[‡]Université Paris-Est Marne la Vallée, LAMA, Champs sur Marne, France.

[§]ENS Ulm, DMA, 45 rue d'Ulm, 75005 Paris, France.

4	About the $\gamma \geq 2$ branches of Liouville Quantum Gravity	22
4.1	The case $\gamma = 2$ or string theory	23
4.2	Freezing in LQG	23
4.3	Duality of LQG	24
5	Perspectives	25
A	Möbius transform relations	26

1 Introduction

1.1 Liouville quantum gravity

The quantum two dimensional Liouville theory was introduced by A. Polyakov in 1981 [43] as a model for quantizing the bosonic string in the conformal gauge and gravity in two space-time dimensions. The quantum Liouville theory (hereafter denoted Liouville Quantum Gravity, or LQG¹ as is now usual in the mathematics literature) is a very interesting Conformal Field Theory (CFT) with a continuum set of values for the associated central charge (more precisely, the value of the central charge c_L can range continuously in the interval $[25, +\infty[$).

In its simplest formulation, the Liouville theory is a quantum theory of the conformal mode X of a 2d "Riemannian metric" g conformally equivalent (in a heuristic sense) to the flat metric dx^2 , namely $g = \exp(\gamma X)dx^2$ with the field X governed by the action

$$S_L(X) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int ((\partial X)^2 + 4\pi\mu e^{\gamma X}) d^2x. \tag{1.1}$$

The parameter μ is the analog of a "cosmological constant" and the measure $e^{\gamma X} d^2x$ is the so-called Liouville measure. As a classical theory, the extrema of the Liouville action are just the conformal metrics with constant scalar curvature $R \propto -\mu$, solution of the Liouville equation (hence its name). As a quantum conformal theory, it turns out the quantum field X must transform under a local conformal transformation $z \rightarrow w = w(z)$ as

$$X(z) \rightarrow \tilde{X}(w) = X(z(w)) + Q \log \left| \frac{\partial z}{\partial w} \right|. \tag{1.2}$$

This is equivalent to stating that the analytic part of the energy-momentum tensor (or Schwarzian connection) is $T_{zz} = -(\partial_z X)^2 + Q \partial_z^2 X$. One then argues that for the quantum metric to be a local operator with the correct conformal weights (1,1), one must have the normalization $Q = 2/\gamma + \gamma/2$ and the central charge of LQG is $c_L = 1 + 6Q^2$, which belongs to the interval $[25, +\infty[$.

Since its introduction, Liouville theory has been and is still much studied in theoretical physics, in the context of integrable systems and conformal field theories, of string theories, of quantum gravity, for its relation with random matrix models and topological gravity (see [42] for a review), and more recently in the context of its relations with 4 dimensional supersymmetric gauge theories and the AGT conjecture [1].

Liouville theory has also raised recently much interest in mathematics and theoretical physics in the (slightly different) context of probability theory and random geometry where the conjectured

¹not to be confused with Loop Quantum Gravity, another approach to quantize gravity in 3 and 4 dimensions...

link between large planar maps and LQG is intensively studied (see the next subsection for a discussion on this point). Up to now such studies have exclusively focused on the incarnation of the Liouville theory as a free field theory (the Gaussian Free Field or GFF), where the parameter μ is set to zero²: see for instance [21, 46] and the review [25]. Within this framework, the Liouville measure $\exp(\gamma X)d^2x$, which can be defined mathematically via Kahane's theory of Gaussian multiplicative chaos [32], is (formally) the exponential of the GFF and it is possible to study in depth the properties of the measure in relation with SLE curves or geometrical objects in the plane that can be constructed out of the GFF [3, 18, 54]. In particular, in this geometrical and probabilistic context, a precise mathematical formulation of the KPZ scaling relations can be given [4, 21, 46]. Let us also mention that defining a random metric is an important open problem in the field and steps towards this problem have been achieved in [11] and [41] in the special case $\gamma = \sqrt{8/3}$ (recall that for this value of γ the metric space is conjectured to be isometric to the Brownian map, which has been shown to be the limit of large planar maps [37, 40]). Yet, as originally suggested in [13], one can define rigorously the associated diffusion process called Liouville Brownian motion [26] (see also [6] for a construction starting from one point). This has led to further understanding of the geometry of LQG via heat kernel techniques, see [2, 7, 27, 39, 47] for recent progresses.

Treating the Liouville theory as a GFF and the exponential term $\mu \exp(\gamma X)$ as a perturbation is justified in some cases. It provides an approximation sufficient to derive the conformal weights of the operators (see the seminal work [33] and also [12, 15] for the framework considered here) and is the basis of many calculations of the correlation functions of the Liouville theory, i.e. expectations of product of vertex operators of the form $V_\alpha(x) = \exp(\alpha X(x))$ [14, 29, 30]. Indeed one may for some choices of Q (i.e. of the central charge c_L) and of the α_i 's reduce the calculation of Liouville theory correlations

$$\langle V_{\alpha_1}(x_1) \cdots V_{\alpha_k}(x_k) \rangle_{\text{Liouville}}$$

to the calculation of correlations with respect to the GFF

$$\langle V_{\alpha_1}(x_1) \cdots V_{\alpha_k}(x_k) \left(\mu \int \exp(\gamma X) \right)^s \rangle_{\text{GFF}}$$

with s a positive integer. One can then compute the latter quantity using Coulomb gas and CFT techniques [17] and then perform an analytic continuation in the α 's and Q (hence s) to get the general form of the correlation functions $\langle V_{\alpha_1}(x_1) \cdots V_{\alpha_k}(x_k) \rangle_{\text{Liouville}}$. This leads in particular to the famous DOZZ formula for the 3-point correlation functions of Liouville theory on the sphere [16, 56]. Thanks to such calculations, many checks have been done between the results of Liouville theory for the correlation functions and the corresponding calculation using random matrix models and integrable hierarchies [42].

Nevertheless for many questions the "interaction" exponential term has to be taken into account. This is for instance the case for the open string (Liouville theory in the disk) where the negative curvature metric and the boundary conditions play an essential role. The purpose of this paper is precisely to define the full Liouville theory for all $\mu > 0$ in the simple case of the theory defined on the Riemann sphere \mathbb{S}_2 (the theory in the disk can be defined along the same lines as the theory on the sphere but details of the construction will appear elsewhere). A proper definition on \mathbb{S}_2 requires the insertion of at least 3 vertex operators: both for dealing with the global

²In the mathematics literature, one speaks of critical LQG when $\mu = 0$ though the terminology is misleading because non critical LQG, which is the object of this work, is also a CFT.

$PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -Möbius symmetry, and for dimensional reasons, in order to have a consistent “semi-classical” negative curvature vacuum for the theory (or mathematically speaking, a well defined saddle point for the Liouville action). More generally, the purpose of this paper is to rigorously define the general k -point correlation function of vertex operators on the sphere for $k \geq 3$. We will also study the conformal invariance properties of these correlation functions and study the associated Liouville measure. Our results should not appear as a surprise for theoretical physicists as we recover (in a rigorous setting) many known properties of LQG but they are the first rigorous probabilistic results about the full Liouville theory (on the sphere), as it was introduced by Polyakov in his 1981 seminal paper [43].

1.2 Relation with discretized $2d$ quantum gravity

The standard way to discretize $2d$ quantum gravity coupled to matter fields is to consider a statistical mechanics model (corresponding to a conformal field theory) defined on a random lattice (or random map), for instance a random triangulation of the sphere (corresponding to the metric).

Let \mathcal{T}_N be the set of triangulations with N faces. We further consider a model of statistical physics (matter field) depending on (at least one) parameter β that can be defined on every lattice, in particular on every $T \in \mathcal{T}_N$. Let $Z_T(\beta)$ stand for the partition of the matter field on the triangulation T . One can for instance consider: (1) pure gravity (no matter field), (2) Ising model (a spin ± 1 on each triangle – or vertex), (3) Potts model or equivalently FK cluster models, (4) loops models, etc... We can then embed (see [11, section 2.2] for instance) each triangulation on the sphere and assign a mass a^2 uniformly on each face of the embedded triangulation in such a way that the total volume of a triangulation with N faces is Na^2 .

The partition function of discrete $2d$ quantum gravity coupled to this matter field can be written as

$$Z(\mu, \beta) = \sum_N e^{-\mu N} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_N} c(T) Z_T(\beta). \quad (1.3)$$

$c(T)$ being the symmetry factor of T (in general 1) and $Z_T(\beta)$ the partition function of the matter model on T . Usually, one can show that the partition function of triangulations with N faces, Z_N scales with the number of faces as

$$Z_N(\beta) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_N} c(T) Z_T(\beta) = e^{\mu_c(\beta)N} N^{-3+\gamma(\beta)} A(\beta)(1 + o(N^0))$$

The partition function Z has a critical point at μ_c , with a singularity of order $|\mu_c - \mu|^{2-\gamma_s}$. γ_s is called the string exponent and for pure gravity (no matter field or non-critical matter) it is $\gamma_s = -1/2$. This singularity is given by the contribution of the large triangulations with $N \rightarrow \infty$ in (1.3) (although the average size of triangulation is finite at μ_c since $\gamma_s < 0$ in general).

Moreover in general the statistical system becomes critical at some β_c , so that the discrete gravity+matter model has a multicritical point at $\beta = \beta_c, \mu = \mu_c(\beta_c)$, where the metric is described by the LQG and the matter fields are described by a CFT with central charge c_M . According to KPZ scaling $\gamma_s \neq -1/2$ and is given (at least for minimal or RCFT models) by $\gamma_s = (c_M - 1 - \sqrt{(1 - c_M)(25 - c_M)})/12$.

The 3-point function corresponds to the third derivative of the partition function w.r.t. μ , i.e. to the partition function of triangulations of the sphere with 3 marked points (triangulations of the sphere with 3 punctures), with matter fields, and summed over the positions of the marked points. It behaves as $|\mu - \mu_c|^{(-1-\gamma)}$ and diverges as the “renormalized cosmological constant” $\mu_R = \mu - \mu_c$

goes to zero, provided that $\gamma > -1$ (this is the case for matter fields with $-2 < c_M \leq 1$ in particular for RCFT that correspond to solvable random matrix models). The closer μ is to μ_c , the larger the typical area of the random triangulation (with 3 marked points) is and for $\mu \sim \mu_c$, the size of the typical area diverges. It is this partition function for triangulations with 3 marked points that is expected to correspond to the 3-point function for weight $(1, 1)$ operators $V_\gamma(z) = \exp(\gamma X(z))$ in the Liouville theory with parameters γ, μ_0 (at least for pure gravity $c_M = 0$) in the limit $a \rightarrow 0$ with $(\mu - \mu_c)/a^2 = \mu_0$ fixed. Indeed in the original path integral over Riemannian metrics on the sphere for 2D quantum gravity, fixing the conformal gauge to obtain LQG is not enough since there is a remaining global $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -degree of freedom. Fixing this “global gauge invariance” can be done by marking 3 points on the sphere, i.e. by inserting three V_γ vertex operators at three points (z_1, z_2, z_3) . In this procedure appears a Faddeev-Popov determinant which by conformal invariance can be nothing but the square of the modulus of a 3×3 Vandermonde determinant $\Delta_3(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (z_1 - z_2)(z_2 - z_3)(z_3 - z_1)$. So we expect that

$$\frac{\partial^3}{\partial \mu^3} Z \propto \langle V_\gamma(z_1) V_\gamma(z_2) V_\gamma(z_3) \rangle_{\text{Liouville}} \times |\Delta_3(z_1, z_2, z_3)|^2 \quad (1.4)$$

The r.h.s. is indeed independent of the positions of the three points thanks to the $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ invariance.

A more precise definition and discussion of the relation between general correlation functions of vertex operators ($K > 3$ points, $\alpha_i \neq \gamma$) in the Liouville theory on the sphere and conformal or quasiconformal embeddings of random maps on the sphere with singularities is in principle feasible. It will be presented elsewhere.

1.3 Summary of our results

The sphere can be seen as the compactified plane $\overline{\mathbb{R}^2}$ equipped with the spherical metric

$$\hat{g} = \frac{4}{(1 + |x|^2)^2} dx^2.$$

Our main goal is here to explain how to give sense to the Liouville partition function on the sphere corresponding to the action (for $\gamma \in [0, 2[$)

$$S_L(X, \hat{g}) := \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\partial^{\hat{g}} X|^2 + QR_{\hat{g}}X + 4\pi\mu e^{\gamma X}) \lambda_{\hat{g}}, \quad (1.5)$$

where $\partial^{\hat{g}}$, $R_{\hat{g}}$ and $\lambda_{\hat{g}}$ respectively stand for the gradient, Ricci scalar curvature and volume form in the metric \hat{g} , which is called background metric. Observe here that the curved background of the sphere imposes to consider an additional curvature term $QR_{\hat{g}}X$, which is not seemingly present in the flat metric action (1.1)³.

Formally, considering an action like (1.5) means that we want to define a random function $X : \overline{\mathbb{R}^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that its probability distribution is given for all suitable functionals F by

$$\mathbb{E}[F(X)] = Z^{-1} \int F(X) e^{-S_L(X, \hat{g})} DX \quad (1.6)$$

³In the flat metric (1.1), this curvature term is hidden in the boundary condition on the field X at infinity, $X(x) \sim -Q \ln |x|$, hence the name of “background charge at infinity”. See [31] for instance.

where Z is a normalization constant and DX stands for some uniform measure on the Sobolev space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \hat{g})$ (see subsection 2.1 for a precise definition). There are several reasons that make the construction of such an action not straightforward.

First recall that such a uniform measure does not exist. Actually, the theory of linear Gaussian spaces may give sense to the squared gradient in the action (1.5) but this gives rise to an infinite measure. This infinite measure can be "localized" by requiring, for instance, the mean value of the field X over the sphere to vanish. This gives rise to the notion of Gaussian Free Field $X_{\hat{g}}$ with vanishing mean in the metric of the sphere \hat{g} , which is a random distribution living almost surely in the dual Sobolev space $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2, \hat{g})$ (see [18]). To recover the full meaning of the squared gradient in the action (1.5), one has to tensorize the law \mathbb{P} of the GFF $X_{\hat{g}}$ with vanishing mean with the Lebesgue measure dc on \mathbb{R} and consider the "law" of the field X as the image of the measure $dc \otimes \mathbb{P}$ under the mapping $(c, X_{\hat{g}}) \mapsto c + X_{\hat{g}}$. The "random variable" c stands for the mean value of the field X , i.e. $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} X \lambda_{\hat{g}}$, and is known under the name "zero mode" in physics. Once the meaning of this squared gradient term is understood, the first mathematical issue is the non-continuity of the mapping $X \in H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2, \hat{g}) \mapsto \int e^{\gamma X} d\lambda_{\hat{g}}$, which can be handled via renormalization (here Gaussian multiplicative chaos [32]).

Second, it turns out (as is well known in physics) that the partition function (1.6) is diverging because of the instability of the Liouville potential on the sphere. More precisely, the divergence comes from the summation over the zero modes (recall that they are distributed as the Lebesgue measure). By using the Gauss-Bonnet theorem, it is plain to check that the contribution of the zero modes is thus basically the following diverging integral (the divergence occurs at $c \rightarrow -\infty$) called **mini-superspace approximation** (see [31])

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2Qc - \mu e^{\gamma c}} dc.$$

This divergence has a geometric flavor: if one computes the saddle point of the Liouville action (1.5), we guess that the field X , if it exists, should concentrate on the solution of the classical Liouville equation on the sphere with negative curvature. Such an equation has no solution and it is well known that one must insert conical singularities in the shape of the sphere to make it support a metric with negative curvature (see [55]). From the probabilistic angle, conical singularities can be understood via Girsanov transforms as p -point correlation functions of the vertex operators: more precisely, one can choose p points z_1, \dots, z_p on the sphere and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p \in \mathbb{R}$ (with additional constraints that we will precise later) and make sense of the following partition function

$$\int e^{\sum_i \alpha_i X(z_i)} e^{-S_L(X, \hat{g}_\varphi)} DX. \tag{1.7}$$

When focusing on the zero mode contribution, we get the following mini-superspace approximation of (1.7)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{(\sum_i \alpha_i - 2Q)c - \mu e^{\gamma c}} dc. \tag{1.8}$$

We will establish that the whole partition function makes sense if and only if the weights $(\alpha_i)_i$ satisfy the so-called **Seiberg bounds** [50]

$$\sum_i \alpha_i > 2Q, \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_i < Q \quad \forall i. \tag{1.9}$$

One can notice that the first condition comes from the contribution of the zero modes and ensures that the integral (1.8) converges. The second part is related to Gaussian multiplicative theory and ensures that the Liouville measure (i.e. the term $\int e^{\gamma X} d\lambda_{\hat{g}}$ in (1.5)) does not blow up under the effect of the insertions. Furthermore, it is straightforward to see from (1.9) that we must at least consider three insertions in order to make sense of (1.7).

Once we have achieved the construction of the Liouville action, we establish the main properties of such a theory. In particular, we establish the well known **KPZ scaling laws** (see [33, 42, 31]) about the μ -scaling properties of the partition function (1.7), the **KPZ formula** which quantifies the way the partition function (1.7) changes under the action of Möbius transforms of the sphere [33, 12, 42, 31] and finally we determine the way the partition function of LQG behaves under conformal changes of metrics, known as the **Weyl anomaly** formula (see [8, 10, 9, 19, 43] for early references on the scale and Weyl anomalies in the physics literature and [44, 49] on related mathematical work) thereby recovering $c_L = 1 + 6Q^2$ as the central charge of the Liouville theory. Finally, we discuss possible approaches of the $\gamma \geq 2$ branches of LQG.

2 Background

Throughout the paper, given a metric tensor g on $\overline{\mathbb{R}^2}$, we will denote by ∂^g the gradient, Δ_g the Laplace-Beltrami operator, $R_g = -\Delta_g \ln g$ the Ricci scalar curvature and λ_g the volume form in the metric g . When no index is given, this means that the object has to be understood in terms of the usual Euclidean metric (i.e. ∂ , Δ , R and λ).

$C(\overline{\mathbb{R}^2})$ stands for the space of continuous functions on \mathbb{R}^2 admitting a finite limit at infinity. In the same way, $C^k(\overline{\mathbb{R}^2})$ for $k \geq 1$ stands for the space of k -times differentiable functions on \mathbb{R}^2 such that all the derivatives up to order k belong to $C(\overline{\mathbb{R}^2})$.

2.1 Metrics on the sphere \mathbb{R}^2

The Riemann sphere can be mapped onto the whole plane \mathbb{R}^2 via stereographic projection. The corresponding spherical metric on \mathbb{R}^2 then reads

$$\hat{g} = \frac{4}{(1 + |x|^2)^2} dx^2.$$

Its Ricci scalar curvature is 2 (its Gaussian curvature is 1) and its volume 4π .

More generally, we say a metric $g = g(x)dx^2$ is conformally equivalent to \hat{g} if $g(x) = e^{\varphi(x)}\hat{g}(x)$ with $\varphi \in C^2(\overline{\mathbb{R}^2})$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial\varphi|^2 d\lambda < \infty$. Its curvature R_g can be obtained from the curvature relation

$$R_g = e^{-\varphi}(R_{\hat{g}} - \Delta_{\hat{g}}\varphi). \quad (2.1)$$

In what follows, we will denote by $m_g(h)$ the mean value of h in the metric g , that is

$$m_g(h) = \frac{1}{\lambda_g(\overline{\mathbb{R}^2})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} h d\lambda_g. \quad (2.2)$$

Given any metric g conformally equivalent to the spherical metric, one can consider the Sobolev space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, g)$, which is the closure of $C^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}^2})$ with respect to the Hilbert-norm

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} h^2 d\lambda_g + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial h|^2 d\lambda. \quad (2.3)$$

The topological dual of $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, g)$ will be denoted by $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2, g)$ and it does not depend on g .

2.2 Log-correlated field and Gaussian free fields

Here we introduce the various free fields that we will use throughout the paper. They are all based on the notion of log-correlated field (LGF) (see [23]) and related Gaussian Free Fields (GFF) (see [18, 28, 52]).

The purpose of this section is to give a precise meaning to the measure on the space of functions corresponding to the "probability density"

$$\exp\left(-\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|\partial^g X(x)|^2\lambda_g(dx)\right)DX \quad (2.4)$$

where g is any metric conformally equivalent to the spherical one and DX stands for the "uniform measure" on the space of functions $X : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Though we could give straight away the mathematical definition, we choose to explain first the motivations for the forthcoming definitions.

We stress that the conformal invariance of the Dirichlet energy entails that the action in (2.4) does not depend on the metric chosen among a fixed conformal class of metrics and that the corresponding random field X must be invariant under all the automorphisms of the sphere, i.e. the Möbius transforms. It is then easy to convince oneself that this action must correspond to the LGF, i.e. a centered Gaussian field with covariance structure

$$\mathbb{E}[X(x)X(y)] = \ln \frac{1}{|x-y|}. \quad (2.5)$$

The point is that this field is defined only up to a constant. One way to define this field is to consider its restriction to the space of test functions f with vanishing mean $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f d\lambda = 0$ (see [23]). This is not the approach that we will develop here. Given a metric g conformally equivalent to that of the sphere, we will rather consider this field conditioned on having vanishing mean in the metric g , call it X_g . Formally, X_g can be understood as

$$X_g = X - m_g(X). \quad (2.6)$$

The constant has thus been fixed by imposing the condition

$$\int X_g d\lambda_g = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

Though this description is not rigorous as the field X does not exist as a function, each field X_g is perfectly defined on the space of test functions and its covariance structure can be explicitly given

$$\begin{aligned} G_g(x,y) &:= \mathbb{E}[X_g(x)X_g(y)] \\ &= \ln \frac{1}{|x-y|} - m_g\left(\ln \frac{1}{|x-\cdot|}\right) - m_g\left(\ln \frac{1}{|y-\cdot|}\right) + \theta_g, \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

with

$$\theta_g := \frac{1}{\lambda_g(\mathbb{R}^2)^2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} \ln \frac{1}{|z-z'|} \lambda_g(dz) \lambda_g(dz'). \quad (2.9)$$

It is then plain to check that X_g is a Gaussian Free Field with vanishing λ_g -mean on the sphere, that is a Gaussian random distribution with covariance kernel given by the Green function G_g of the problem

$$\Delta_g u = -2\pi f \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^2, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u d\lambda_g = 0$$

i.e.

$$u = \int G_g(\cdot, z) f(z) \lambda_g(dz) := G_g f. \quad (2.10)$$

Furthermore, X_g lives almost surely in the dual space $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2, g)$ of $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, g)$, see [18, 52], and this space does not depend on the choice of the metric g in the conformal equivalence class of \hat{g} . We state the following classical result on the Green function G_g (see the appendix for a short proof)

Proposition 2.1. (Conformal covariance) *Let ψ be a Möbius transform of the sphere and consider the metric $g_\psi = |\psi'(x)|^2 g(\psi(x)) dx^2$ on the sphere. We have*

$$G_{g_\psi}(x, y) = G_g(\psi(x), \psi(y)).$$

Furthermore, a simple check of covariance structure with the help of (2.8) entails

Proposition 2.2. (Rule for changing metrics) *For every metrics g, g' conformally equivalent to the spherical metric, we have the following equality in law*

$$X_g - m_{g'}(X_g) \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} X_{g'}.$$

In particular, for the round metric, these Propositions lead to a simple transformation rule:

$$G_{\hat{g}}(\psi(z), \psi(z')) = G_{e^\phi \hat{g}}(z, z') = G_{\hat{g}}(z, z') - \frac{1}{4}(\phi(z) + \phi(z')) \quad (2.11)$$

where $e^\phi = \hat{g}_\psi / \hat{g}$ (see Appendix).

All these GFFs X_g (g conformally equivalent to \hat{g}) may be thought of as centerings in λ_g -mean of the same LGF. They all differ by a constant. To absorb the dependence on the constant, we tensorize the law \mathbb{P} of the field X_g (whatever the metric g) with the Lebesgue measure dc on \mathbb{R} and we consider the image of the measure $\mathbb{P} \otimes dc$ under the mapping $(X_g, c) \mapsto X_g + c$. This measure will be understood as the "law" (it is not finite) of the field X corresponding to the action (2.4). In particular, this measure will be invariant under the shifts $X \rightarrow X + a$ for any constant $a \in \mathbb{R}$. This also ensures that the choice of the metric g to fix the constant for the LGF, yielding the GFF X_g , is irrelevant as it will be absorbed by the shift invariance of the Lebesgue measure.

To sum up, in what follows, we will formally understand the measure (2.4) as the image of the product measure $\mathbb{P} \otimes dc$ on $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2, \hat{g}) \times \mathbb{R}$ by the mapping $(X_g, c) \mapsto X_g + c$, where dc is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} and X_g has the law of a GFF X_g with vanishing λ_g -mean, no matter the choice of the metric g .

2.3 Gaussian multiplicative chaos

In what follows, we need to introduce some cut-off approximation of the GFF X_g for any metric g conformally equivalent to the spherical metric. Natural cut-off approximations can be defined via convolution. We need that these cut-off approximations be defined with respect to a fixed background metric: we consider Euclidean circle averages of the field because they facilitate some computations (especially Proposition 2.4 below) but we could consider ball averages, convolutions with a smooth function or white noise decompositions of the GFF as well.

Definition 2.3. (Circle average regularizations of the free field) *We consider the field $X_{g,\epsilon}$*

$$X_{g,\epsilon}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} X_g(x + \epsilon e^{i\theta}) d\theta.$$

Proposition 2.4. *We claim (recall (2.9))*

1. $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}[X_{\hat{g},\epsilon}(x)^2] + \ln \epsilon + \frac{1}{2} \ln \hat{g}(x) = \theta_{\hat{g}} + \ln 2$ uniformly on \mathbb{R}^2 .
2. Let ψ be a Möbius transform of the sphere. Denote by $(X_{\hat{g}} \circ \psi)_{\epsilon}$ the ϵ -circle average of the field $X_{\hat{g}} \circ \psi$. Then

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}[(X_{\hat{g}} \circ \psi)_{\epsilon}(x)^2] + \frac{1}{2} \ln \hat{g}(\psi(x)) + \ln |\psi'(x)| + \ln \epsilon = \theta_{\hat{g}} + \ln 2$$

uniformly on \mathbb{R}^2 .

Proof. To prove the first statement results, apply the ϵ -circle average regularization to the Green function $G_{\hat{g}}$ in (2.8) and use

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \ln \frac{1}{|e^{i\theta} - e^{i\theta'}|} d\theta d\theta' = 0.$$

Defining $f(x) := 2m_{\hat{g}}(\ln \frac{1}{|x-\cdot|})$ and letting f_{ϵ} be the circle average of f we then get that $\mathbb{E}[X_{\hat{g},\epsilon}(x)^2] + f_{\epsilon}(x) + \ln \epsilon$ converges uniformly to $\theta_{\hat{g}}$. Then use (A.2) i.e. $f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \hat{g}(x)$ to get the claim.

Concerning the second statement, observe that $X_{\hat{g}} \circ \psi$ is a GFF with vanishing mean in the metric $g_{\psi} = |\psi'|^2 \hat{g} \circ \psi$ (see Proposition 2.1). Therefore, the Green function of this GFF is given by (2.8) with $g = g_{\psi}$. The same argument as the first item shows that $\mathbb{E}[X_{\hat{g}} \circ \psi_{\epsilon}(x)^2] + f_{\epsilon}^{\psi}(x) + \ln \epsilon$ converges uniformly over of \mathbb{R}^2 to $\theta_{g_{\psi}}$ where we defined

$$f^{\psi}(x) = 2m_{g_{\psi}}(\ln \frac{1}{|x-\cdot|})$$

and f_{ϵ}^{ψ} its circle average. Then use (A.3). □

Define now the measure

$$M_{\gamma,\epsilon} := \epsilon^{\frac{\gamma^2}{2}} e^{\gamma(X_{\hat{g},\epsilon} + Q/2 \ln \hat{g})} d\lambda. \tag{2.12}$$

Proposition 2.5. *For $\gamma \in [0, 2[$, the following limit exists in probability*

$$M_{\gamma} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} M_{\gamma,\epsilon} = e^{\frac{\gamma^2}{2} \theta_{\hat{g}} + \ln 2} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} e^{\gamma X_{\hat{g},\epsilon} - \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \mathbb{E}[X_{\hat{g},\epsilon}^2]} d\lambda_{\hat{g}}$$

in the sense of weak convergence of measures. This limiting measure is non trivial and is a (up to a multiplicative constant) Gaussian multiplicative chaos of the field $X_{\hat{g}}$ with respect to the measure $\lambda_{\hat{g}}$.

Proof. This results from standard tools of the general theory of Gaussian multiplicative chaos (see [45] and references therein) and Proposition 2.4. We also stress that all these methods were recently unified in a powerful framework in [51]. □

The following Proposition summarizes the behavior of this measure under Möbius transformations:

Proposition 2.6. *Let F be a bounded continuous function on $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2, \hat{g})$, $f \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}^2})$ and ψ be a Möbius transformation of the sphere. Then*

$$(F(X_{\hat{g}}), \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f dM_\gamma) \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} (F(X_{\hat{g}} \circ \psi^{-1} - m_{\hat{g}_\psi}(X_{\hat{g}})), e^{-\gamma m_{\hat{g}_\psi}(X_{\hat{g}})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f \circ \psi e^{\gamma \frac{Q}{2} \phi} dM_\gamma)$$

where $\hat{g}_\psi = |\psi'|^2 g \circ \psi$ and $e^\phi = \hat{g}_\psi / \hat{g}$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int f \epsilon^{\frac{\gamma^2}{2}} e^{\gamma(X_{\hat{g}, \epsilon} + Q/2 \ln \hat{g})} d\lambda &= \int f \circ \psi \epsilon^{\frac{\gamma^2}{2}} e^{\gamma(X_{\hat{g}, \epsilon} \circ \psi + Q/2 \ln \hat{g} \circ \psi)} |\psi'|^2 d\lambda \\ &= \int f \circ \psi \left(\frac{\epsilon}{|\psi'|}\right)^{\frac{\gamma^2}{2}} e^{\gamma(X_{\hat{g}, \epsilon} \circ \psi + Q/2 \ln \hat{g})} e^{\gamma \frac{Q}{2} \phi} d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\psi(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$ where $ad - bc = 1$. Then $\psi'(z) = (cz + d)^{-2}$ and

$$\phi(z) = 2(\ln(1 + |z|^2) - \ln(|az + b|^2 + |cz + d|^2))$$

is in $C(\overline{\mathbb{R}^2})$. Let $\eta > 0$. Using Proposition 2.4 we get that on the set $A_\eta := B(0, \frac{1}{\eta}) \setminus B(-\frac{d}{c}, \eta)$

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}[X_{\hat{g}, \epsilon}(\psi(z))^2] - \mathbb{E}[(X_{\hat{g}} \circ \psi)_{\frac{\epsilon}{|\psi'(z)|}}(z)^2] = 0.$$

We may then use the results of [51] to conclude that the measures

$$\left(\frac{\epsilon}{|\psi'|}\right)^{\frac{\gamma^2}{2}} e^{\gamma(X_{\hat{g}, \epsilon} \circ \psi + Q/2 \ln \hat{g})} d\lambda$$

and

$$\epsilon^{\frac{\gamma^2}{2}} e^{\gamma(X_{\hat{g}} \circ \psi)_\epsilon + Q/2 \ln \hat{g}} d\lambda$$

converge in probability to the same random measure on A_η . By Proposition 2.4

$$\mathbb{E} \int_{A_\eta} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{|\psi'|}\right)^{\frac{\gamma^2}{2}} e^{\gamma(X_{\hat{g}, \epsilon} \circ \psi + Q/2 \ln \hat{g})} \lambda \leq C \int_{A_\eta} (\hat{g}/\hat{g}_\psi)^{\frac{\gamma^2}{4}} \lambda_{\hat{g}} = C \int_{A_\eta} e^{-\frac{\gamma^2}{4} \phi} \lambda_{\hat{g}} \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.13)$$

as $\eta \rightarrow 0$. By Propositions 2.1 and 2.2, $X_{\hat{g}} \circ \psi$ is equal in law with $X_{\hat{g}} - m_{\hat{g}_\psi}(X_{\hat{g}})$ yielding the claim. \square

3 Liouville Quantum Gravity on the sphere

We will now give the formal definition of the functional integral (1.6) in the presence of the vertex operators $e^{\alpha_i X(z_i)}$. Let $g = e^\varphi \hat{g}$ be a metric conformally equivalent to the spherical metric in the sense of Section 2.1 and let F be a continuous bounded functional on $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2, \hat{g})$. We define

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{\gamma, \mu}^{(z_i \alpha_i)_i}(g, F; \epsilon) & \quad (3.1) \\ & := e^{\frac{1}{96\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial^{\bar{\theta}} \varphi|^2 + 2R_{\hat{g}} \varphi} d\lambda_{\hat{g}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \left[F(c + X_g + Q/2 \ln g) \prod_i \epsilon^{\frac{\alpha_i^2}{2}} e^{\alpha_i(c + X_{g, \epsilon} + Q/2 \ln g)(z_i)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \exp \left(-\frac{Q}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} R_g(c + X_g) d\lambda_g - \mu \epsilon^{\frac{\gamma^2}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\gamma(c + X_{g, \epsilon} + Q/2 \ln g)} d\lambda \right) \right] dc. \end{aligned}$$

and want to inquire when the limit $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \Pi_{\gamma, \mu}^{(z_i \alpha_i)_i}(g, F; \epsilon) =: \Pi_{\gamma, \mu}^{(z_i \alpha_i)_i}(g, F)$ exists.

Remark 3.1. We include the additional factor $e^{\frac{1}{96\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial^{\hat{g}} \varphi|^2 + 2R_{\hat{g}} \varphi d\lambda_{\hat{g}}}$ to conform to the physics conventions. Indeed the formal expression (1.6) differs from (3.1) in that in the latter we use a normalized expectation for the Free Field. Thus to get (1.6) we would need to multiply by the Free Field partition function $z(g)$. The latter is not uniquely defined but its variation with metric is:

$$z(e^\varphi \hat{g}) = e^{\frac{1}{96\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial^{\hat{g}} \varphi|^2 + 2R_{\hat{g}} \varphi d\lambda_{\hat{g}}} z(\hat{g})$$

see [18, 28]. This additional factor makes the Weyl anomaly formula conform with the standard one in Conformal Field Theory. We note also that the translation by $Q/2 \ln g$ in the argument of F is necessary for conformal invariance (Section 3.2).

We start by considering the round metric, $g = \hat{g}$. We first handle the curvature term. Since $R_{\hat{g}} = 2$ and $X_{\hat{g}}$ has vanishing $\lambda_{\hat{g}}$ -mean we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{\gamma, \mu}^{(z_i \alpha_i)_i}(\hat{g}, F; \epsilon) & \quad (3.2) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2Qc} \mathbb{E} \left[F(c + X_{\hat{g}} + Q/2 \ln \hat{g}) \prod_i \epsilon^{\frac{\alpha_i^2}{2}} e^{\alpha_i(c + X_{\hat{g}, \epsilon} + Q/2 \ln \hat{g})(z_i)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \exp \left(-\mu \epsilon^{\frac{\gamma^2}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\gamma(c + X_{\hat{g}, \epsilon} + Q/2 \ln \hat{g})} d\lambda \right) \right] dc. \end{aligned}$$

Now we handle the insertions operators $e^{\alpha_i X_{\hat{g}, \epsilon}(z_i)}$. In view of Proposition 2.4, we can write (with the Landau notation)

$$\epsilon^{\frac{\alpha_i^2}{2}} e^{\alpha_i X_{\hat{g}, \epsilon}(z_i)} = e^{\frac{\alpha_i^2}{2}(\theta_{\hat{g}} + \ln 2)} \hat{g}(z_i)^{-\frac{\alpha_i^2}{4}} e^{\alpha_i X_{\hat{g}, \epsilon}(z_i) - \frac{\alpha_i^2}{2} \mathbb{E}[X_{\hat{g}, \epsilon}(z_i)^2]} (1 + o(1)). \quad (3.3)$$

Note that the $o(1)$ term is deterministic as it just comes from the normalization of variances. Then, by applying the Girsanov transform and setting

$$H_{\hat{g}, \epsilon}(x) = \sum_i \alpha_i \int_0^{2\pi} G_{\hat{g}}(z_i + \epsilon e^{i\theta}, x) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}, \quad (3.4)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{\gamma, \mu}^{(z_i \alpha_i)_i}(\hat{g}, F; \epsilon) &= e^{C_\epsilon(\mathbf{z})} \left(\prod_i \hat{g}(z_i)^{-\frac{\alpha_i^2}{4} + \frac{Q}{2} \alpha_i} \right) \quad (3.5) \\ & \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{(\sum_i \alpha_i - 2Q)c} \mathbb{E} \left[F(c + X_{\hat{g}} + H_{\hat{g}, \epsilon} + Q/2 \ln \hat{g}) (1 + o(1)) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \exp \left(-\mu e^{\gamma c} \epsilon^{\frac{\gamma^2}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\gamma(X_{\hat{g}, \epsilon} + H_{\hat{g}, \epsilon} + Q/2 \ln \hat{g})} d\lambda \right) \right] dc, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} C_\epsilon(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \alpha_i \alpha_j G_{\hat{g}}(z_i, z_j) + \frac{\theta_{\hat{g}} + \ln 2}{2} \sum_i \alpha_i^2 := C(\mathbf{z}). \quad (3.6)$$

In the next subsection we study under what conditions the limit in (3.5) exists.

3.1 Seiberg bounds and KPZ scaling laws

Since $H_{\hat{g},\epsilon}$ converges in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2, \hat{g})$ to

$$H_{\hat{g}}(x) = \sum_i \alpha_i G_{\hat{g}}(z_i, x) \quad (3.7)$$

it suffices to study the convergence of the partition function $\Pi_{\gamma,\mu}^{(z_i\alpha_i)_i}(\hat{g}, 1; \epsilon)$. We show that a necessary and sufficient condition for the Liouville partition function to have a non trivial limit is the validity of the so-called **Seiberg bounds** (see [50, 42, 31])

$$\sum_i \alpha_i > 2Q \quad \text{and} \quad \forall i, \quad \alpha_i < Q. \quad (3.8)$$

The first inequality controls the $c \rightarrow -\infty$ divergence of the integral over the zero modes $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and is necessary even for the regularized theory to exist. Indeed, let

$$Z_\epsilon := \epsilon^{\frac{\gamma^2}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\gamma(X_{\hat{g},\epsilon} + H_{\hat{g},\epsilon} + Q/2 \ln \hat{g})} d\lambda. \quad (3.9)$$

Note that $|H_{\hat{g},\epsilon}(z)| \leq C_\epsilon$ since $G(z_i, z)$ tends to constant as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$. Hence from Proposition 2.5 we infer $\mathbb{E}[Z_\epsilon] < \infty$ and thus $Z_\epsilon < \infty$ \mathbb{P} -almost surely. Hence we can find $A > 0$ such that $\mathbb{P}(Z_\epsilon \leq A) > 0$ and then

$$\Pi_{\gamma,\mu}^{(z_i\alpha_i)_i}(\hat{g}, 1, \epsilon) \geq \left(\prod_i \hat{g}(z_i)^{-\frac{\alpha_i^2}{4} + \frac{Q}{2}\alpha_i} \right) e^{C_\epsilon(\mathbf{z})} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{(\sum_i \alpha_i - 2Q)c} e^{-\mu e^{\gamma c} A} \mathbb{P}(Z_\epsilon \leq A) dc = +\infty$$

if the first condition in (3.8) fails to hold. The condition $\alpha_i < Q$ is needed to ensure that the integral in (3.9) does not blow up in the neighborhood of the places of insertions $(z_i)_i$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Finally, we mention that the bounds (3.8) show that the number of insertions must be at least 3 in order to have well defined correlation functions of the Liouville theory on the sphere. This has a strong geometric flavor (see [55]): on the sphere one must at least insert three conical singularities in order to construct a metric with negative curvature (notice that the saddle points of the Liouville action are precisely these metrics). We claim

Theorem 3.2. (Convergence of the partition function) *Let $\sum_i \alpha_i > 2Q$. Then the limit*

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \Pi_{\gamma,\mu}^{(z_i\alpha_i)_i}(\hat{g}, 1; \epsilon) := \Pi_{\gamma,\mu}^{(z_i\alpha_i)_i}(\hat{g}, 1)$$

exists. The limit is nonzero if $\alpha_i < Q$ for all i whereas it vanishes identically if $\alpha_i \geq Q$ for some i .

Proof. Eq. (3.5) gives for $F = 1$

$$\Pi_{\gamma,\mu}^{(z_i\alpha_i)_i}(\hat{g}, 1, \epsilon) = \prod_i \hat{g}(z_i)^{-\frac{\alpha_i^2}{4} + \frac{Q}{2}\alpha_i} e^{C(\mathbf{z})} (1 + o(1)) \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{c(\sum_i \alpha_i - 2Q)} \exp(-\mu e^{\gamma c} Z_\epsilon) dc \right].$$

As remarked above, $Z_\epsilon > 0$ almost surely. By making the change of variables $u = \mu e^{\gamma c} Z_\epsilon$ in (3.5), we compute

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{c(\sum_i \alpha_i - 2Q)} \exp(-\mu e^{\gamma c} Z_\epsilon) dc \right] = \frac{\mu^{\frac{\sum_i \alpha_i - 2Q}{\gamma}}}{\gamma} \Gamma\left(\gamma^{-1}(\sum_i \alpha_i - 2Q)\right) \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{Z_\epsilon^{\frac{\sum_i \alpha_i - 2Q}{\gamma}}} \right] \quad (3.10)$$

where Γ is the standard Γ function. The claim follows from the following Lemma. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let $s < 0$. If $\alpha_i < Q$ for all i then*

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}[Z_\epsilon^s] = \mathbb{E}[Z_0^s]$$

where

$$Z_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\gamma H_{\hat{g}}(x)} M_\gamma(dx) \quad (3.11)$$

and the limit is nontrivial: $0 < \mathbb{E}Z_0^s < \infty$.

If $\alpha_i \geq Q$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ then

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}Z_\epsilon^s = 0.$$

As a corollary of the relation (3.10), we obtain a rigorous derivation of the KPZ scaling laws (see [33, 42, 31] for physics references)

Theorem 3.4. (KPZ scaling laws) *We have the following exact scaling relation for the Liouville partition function with insertions $(z_i, \alpha_i)_i$*

$$\Pi_{\gamma, \mu}^{(z_i \alpha_i)_i}(\hat{g}, 1) = \mu^{\frac{2Q - \sum_i \alpha_i}{\gamma}} \Pi_{\gamma, 1}^{(z_i \alpha_i)_i}(\hat{g}, 1)$$

where

$$\Pi_{\gamma, 1}^{(z_i \alpha_i)_i}(\hat{g}, 1) = e^{C(\mathbf{z})} \left(\prod_i \hat{g}(z_i)^{\Delta_{\alpha_i}} \right) \gamma^{-1} \Gamma\left(\gamma^{-1} \left(\sum_i \alpha_i - 2Q \right)\right) \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{Z_0^{\frac{\sum_i \alpha_i - 2Q}{\gamma}}} \right]$$

and we defined

$$\Delta_\alpha = \frac{\alpha}{2} \left(Q - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) \quad (3.12)$$

and $C(\mathbf{z})$ is defined by (3.6). Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{\gamma, \mu}^{(z_i \alpha_i)_i}(\hat{g}, F) &= e^{C(\mathbf{z})} \prod_i \hat{g}(z_i)^{\Delta_{\alpha_i}} \\ &\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{(\sum_i \alpha_i - 2Q)c} \mathbb{E} \left[F(c + X_{\hat{g}} + H_{\hat{g}} + Q/2 \ln \hat{g}) \exp\left(-\mu e^{\gamma c} Z_0\right) \right] dc. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Proof of Lemma 3.3. Note first that $\mathbb{E}Z_\epsilon^s < \infty$ for all $\epsilon \geq 0$. Indeed, recalling (2.12)

$$Z_\epsilon = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\gamma H_{\hat{g}, \epsilon}(z)} M_{\gamma, \epsilon}(dx).$$

Take any non empty ball B that contains no z_i . Then

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_\epsilon^s] \leq A^s \mathbb{E}[M_{\gamma, \epsilon}(B)^s]$$

where $A = C \min_{z \in B} \frac{4e^{\gamma H_{\hat{g}}(z)}}{(1+|z|^2)^2}$. It is a standard fact in Gaussian multiplicative chaos theory (see [45, Th 2.12] again) that the random variable $M_{\gamma, \epsilon}(B)$ possesses negative moments of all orders for $\gamma \in [0, 2]$.

Let now $\alpha_i < Q$ for all i . Let us consider the set $A_r = \cup_i B(z_i, r)$ and write

$$Z_\epsilon = \int_{A_r} e^{\gamma H_{\hat{g}, \epsilon}(z)} M_{\gamma, \epsilon}(dx) + \int_{A_r^c} e^{\gamma H_{\hat{g}, \epsilon}(z)} M_{\gamma, \epsilon}(dx) := Z_{r, \epsilon} + Z_{r, \epsilon}^c.$$

Since $H_{\hat{g},\epsilon}$ converge uniformly on A_r^c to a continuous limit the limit

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} Z_{r,\epsilon}^c = \int_{A_r^c} e^{\gamma H_{\hat{g},\epsilon}(z)} M_\gamma(dx) := Z_{r,0}^c \quad (3.14)$$

exists in probability by Proposition 2.5.

We study next the r -dependence of $Z_{r,\epsilon}$. Without loss of generality, we may take $\epsilon = 2^{-n}$ and $r = 2^{-m}$ with $n > m$ and $A_r = B(0, r)$. Then, dividing $B(0, r)$ to dyadic annuli $2^{-k-1} \leq |z| \leq 2^{-k}$ and noting that $e^{\gamma H_{\hat{g},\epsilon}(z)} \leq C 2^{\gamma \alpha k}$ on such annulus we get

$$Z_{r,\epsilon} = \int_{B(0,r)} e^{\gamma H_{\hat{g},\epsilon}(z)} M_{\gamma,\epsilon}(dx) \leq C \sum_{k=m}^n 2^{\gamma \alpha k} M_{\gamma,\epsilon}(B_k) \quad (3.15)$$

where $B_k = B(0, 2^{-k})$.

The distribution of $M_{\gamma,\epsilon}(B_k)$ is easiest to study using the white noise cutoff $(\tilde{X}_\epsilon)_\epsilon$ of $X_{\hat{g}}$. More precisely, the family $(\tilde{X}_\epsilon)_\epsilon$ is a family of Gaussian processes defined as follows. Consider the heat kernel $(p_t(\cdot, \cdot))_{t \geq 0}$ of the Laplacian $\Delta_{\hat{g}}$ on \mathbb{R}^2 . Let W be a white noise distributed on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2$ with intensity $dt \otimes \lambda_{\hat{g}}(dy)$. Then

$$\tilde{X}_\epsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\epsilon^2}^{\infty} (p_{t/2}(x, y) - \frac{1}{\lambda_{\hat{g}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}) W(dt, dy).$$

The correlation structure of the family $(\tilde{X}_\epsilon)_{\epsilon > 0}$ is given by

$$\mathbb{E}[\tilde{X}_\epsilon(x) \tilde{X}_{\epsilon'}(x')] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{(\epsilon \wedge \epsilon')^2}^{\infty} (p_t(x, x') - \frac{1}{\lambda_{\hat{g}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}) dt. \quad (3.16)$$

For $\epsilon > 0$, we define the random measure

$$\tilde{M}_{\gamma,\epsilon} := e^{\gamma \tilde{X}_\epsilon - \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \mathbb{E}[(\tilde{X}_\epsilon(x))^2]} d\lambda_{\hat{g}}$$

and $\tilde{M}_\gamma := \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \tilde{M}_{\gamma,\epsilon}$, which has the same law as M_γ (see [45, Thm 3.7]). The covariance of the field $X_{\hat{g},\epsilon}$ is comparable to the one of \tilde{X}_ϵ . Indeed, uniformly in ϵ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[\tilde{X}_\epsilon(x) \tilde{X}_\epsilon(y)] \leq C + \mathbb{E}[X_\epsilon(x) X_\epsilon(y)]$$

and so by Kahane's convexity inequality (see [32]) we get, for $q \in (0, 1)$

$$\mathbb{E}[M_{\gamma,\epsilon}(B_k)^q] \leq C \mathbb{E}[\tilde{M}_{\gamma,\epsilon}(B_k)^q].$$

We have the relation

$$\sup_{\epsilon} \mathbb{E}[\tilde{M}_{\gamma,\epsilon}(B_k)^q] \leq C_q 2^{-k\xi(q)} \quad (3.17)$$

for all $q < \frac{4}{\gamma^2}$ where $\xi(q) = (2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{2})q - \frac{\gamma^2}{2}q^2$. Indeed, the family $(\tilde{M}_{\gamma,\epsilon}(B_k))_\epsilon$ is a martingale so that, by Jensen, it suffices to prove that the limit \tilde{M}_γ satisfies such a bound. This latter fact is standard, see [45, Th 2.14] for instance.

Therefore by Tchebychev

$$\mathbb{P}(Z_{r,\epsilon} > R) \leq C_{q,\delta} R^{-q} \sum_{k=m}^n 2^{-k\xi(q)} 2^{(\gamma\alpha+\delta)qk} \leq C_{q,\delta} R^{-q} 2^{-m(\xi(q)-q(\gamma\alpha+\delta))}$$

provided $(\gamma\alpha + \delta)q < \xi(q)$. This holds for q and δ small enough since $\alpha < Q$ i.e. $\gamma\alpha < 2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{2}$. Hence, for some $\alpha, \beta > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}(Z_{r,\epsilon} > r^\alpha) \leq Cr^\beta \quad \forall \epsilon \geq 0$$

where we noted that the same argument covers also the $\epsilon = 0$ case.

Let $\chi_r = 1_{Z_{r,\epsilon} > r^\alpha}$. We get by Schwartz

$$|\mathbb{E}[(Z_{r,\epsilon} + Z_{r,\epsilon}^c)^s - (Z_{r,\epsilon}^c)^s \chi_r]| \leq 2(\mathbb{E}\chi_r \mathbb{E}(Z_{r,\epsilon}^c)^{2s})^{1/2} \leq Cr^{\beta/2} (\mathbb{E}(Z_{r,\epsilon}^c)^{2s})^{1/2}$$

and using $|(a+b)^s - b^s| \leq Cab^{s-1}$

$$|\mathbb{E}((Z_{r,\epsilon} + Z_{r,\epsilon}^c)^s - (Z_{r,\epsilon}^c)^s)(1 - \chi_r)| \leq Cr^\alpha \mathbb{E}(Z_{r,\epsilon}^c)^{s-1}.$$

Since $\mathbb{E}(Z_{r,\epsilon}^c)^s \leq \mathbb{E}(Z_{1,\epsilon}^c)^s$ and the latter stays bounded as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we conclude

$$|\mathbb{E}[(Z_\epsilon)^s - (Z_{r,\epsilon}^c)^s]| \leq C(r^\alpha + r^\beta)$$

for all $\epsilon \leq r$. In particular, for $\epsilon = 0$ this gives

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}[(Z_{r,0}^c)^s] = \mathbb{E}[Z_0^s]. \quad (3.18)$$

Since $\mathbb{E}[(Z_{r,\epsilon}^c)^s] < \infty$ for all $\epsilon \geq 0$ and by (3.14) $Z_{r,\epsilon}^c$ converges in probability to $Z_{r,0}^c$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we have $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}[(Z_{r,\epsilon}^c)^s] = \mathbb{E}[(Z_{r,0}^c)^s]$. From (3.18) we then conclude our claim $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}[(Z_\epsilon)^s] = \mathbb{E}[(Z_0)^s]$.

For later purpose let us remark that from (3.17) we get

$$M_\gamma(B_k) \leq C_\delta(\omega) 2^{-k(2+\frac{\gamma^2}{2}-\delta)}$$

where $C_\delta(\omega) < \infty$ almost surely. This easily leads to

$$\sup_{\epsilon > 0} \int_{B_r} e^{\gamma H_{\hat{g},\epsilon}(z)} M_\gamma(dx) \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.19)$$

in probability as $r \rightarrow 0$.

Let us now prove the second part of the lemma. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\alpha_1 \geq Q$ and $z_1 = 0$. It suffices to prove for the $Z_{1,\epsilon}$ defined in (3.15) that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}[Z_{1,\epsilon}^s] = 0 \quad (3.20)$$

By Kahane convexity [32] (or [45, Thm 2.1]) we get

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_{1,\epsilon}^s] \leq C\mathbb{E}[\tilde{Z}_{1,\epsilon}^s].$$

Next, we bound

$$\tilde{Z}_{1,\epsilon} \geq c \sum_{k=1}^n 2^{\alpha\gamma k} \tilde{M}_{\gamma,\epsilon}(A_k) \geq c \max_{k \leq n} 2^{(2+\gamma^2/2)k} \tilde{M}_{\gamma,\epsilon}(A_k) \quad (3.21)$$

where A_k is the annulus with radi 2^{-k} and 2^{-k+1} and we recall that $\epsilon = 2^{-n}$ and $\alpha\gamma \geq 2 + \gamma^2/2$. We may then decompose, for $r = 2^{-k}$ (and $\epsilon < r$),

$$\widetilde{M}_{\gamma,\epsilon}(dz) = e^{\gamma\widetilde{X}_r(z) - \frac{\gamma^2}{2}\mathbb{E}[\widetilde{X}_r(z)^2]} r^2 \widehat{M}_{\gamma,\epsilon,r}(dz/r) \quad (3.22)$$

where the measure $\widehat{M}_{\gamma,\epsilon,r}$ is independent of the sigma-field $\{\widetilde{X}_u(x); u \geq r, x \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$ and has the law

$$\widehat{M}_{\gamma,\epsilon,r}(dz) = e^{\gamma(\widetilde{X}_\epsilon - \widetilde{X}_r)(rz) - \frac{\gamma^2}{2}\mathbb{E}[(\widetilde{X}_\epsilon - \widetilde{X}_r)(rz)^2]} dz.$$

We can rewrite (3.22) as

$$\widetilde{M}_{\gamma,\epsilon}(dz) = e^{\gamma\widetilde{X}_r(0) - \frac{\gamma^2}{2}\mathbb{E}[(\widetilde{X}_r(0))^2]} e^{\gamma(\widetilde{X}_r(z) - \widetilde{X}_r(0)) - \frac{\gamma^2}{2}(\mathbb{E}[(\widetilde{X}_r(z))^2] - \mathbb{E}[(\widetilde{X}_r(0))^2])} r^2 \widehat{M}_{\gamma,\epsilon,r}(dz/r) \quad (3.23)$$

to get

$$\widetilde{M}_{\gamma,\epsilon}(A_k) \geq r^2 e^{\gamma\widetilde{X}_r(0) - \frac{\gamma^2}{2}\mathbb{E}[(\widetilde{X}_r(0))^2]} e^{\min_{z \in B(0,1)} Y_r(z)} \widehat{M}_{\gamma,\epsilon,r}(A_1) \quad (3.24)$$

with $Y_r(z) = \gamma(\widetilde{X}_r(rz) - \widetilde{X}_r(0)) - \frac{\gamma^2}{2}(\mathbb{E}[(\widetilde{X}_r(rz))^2] - \mathbb{E}[(\widetilde{X}_r(0))^2])$. Now we want to determine the behavior of all the terms involved in the above right-hand side.

By using in turn Doob's inequality and then Kahane convexity [32] (or [45, Thm 2.1]), we get

$$\mathbb{E}[\sup_{\epsilon < r} \widehat{M}_{\gamma,\epsilon,r}(A_1)^{-q}] \leq c_q \mathbb{E}[\widehat{M}_{\gamma,0,r}(A_1)^{-q}] \leq \mathbb{E}[M_\gamma(A_1)^{-q}] \leq C_q. \quad (3.25)$$

uniformly in $r \leq 1$. Hence, for all $a > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}(\sup_{\epsilon < r} \widehat{M}_{\gamma,\epsilon,r}(A_1) \leq n^{-1}) \leq C_a n^{-a}. \quad (3.26)$$

Next, we estimate the min in (3.24). The key point is to observe that the Gaussian process Y_r does not fluctuate too much in such a way that its minimum possesses a Gaussian left tail distribution. To prove this, we write $Y_r(z) = \mathbb{E}[Y_r(z)] + Y_r'(z)$ and we note that using the covariance structure of $(\widetilde{X}_r)_r$ we get for all $z \in B(0, 1)$

$$|\mathbb{E}Y_r(z)| = \frac{\gamma^2}{2} |\mathbb{E}[(\widetilde{X}_r(rz))^2] - \mathbb{E}[(\widetilde{X}_r(0))^2]| \leq C$$

and for all $z, z' \in B(0, 1)$,

$$\mathbb{E}[(Y_r'(z) - Y_r'(z'))^2] \leq C|z - z'|,$$

uniformly in $r \leq 1$. Using for example [36, Thm. 7.1, Eq. (7.4)], one can then deduce

$$\forall x \geq 1, \quad \sup_r \mathbb{P}(\min_{z \in B(0,1)} \gamma Y_r(z) \leq -x) \leq C e^{-cx^2}$$

for some constants $C, c > 0$. Hence, for all $a > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}(e^{\min_{z \in B(0,1)} Y_r(z)} \leq n^{-1}) \leq C_a n^{-a}. \quad (3.27)$$

Combining (3.24), (3.26) and (3.27) with (3.21) we conclude

$$\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{Z}_{1,\epsilon} < n) \leq \mathbb{P}(\max_{k \leq n} e^{\gamma X_{2^{-k}}(0)} \leq n^3) + C n^{-a}.$$

Since the law of the path $t \mapsto \widetilde{X}_t(0)$ is that of Brownian motion at time $-\ln t$ the first term on the RHS tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and (3.20) follows. \square

3.2 Conformal covariance, KPZ formula and Liouville field

In what follows, we assume that the bounds (3.8) hold and we will study how the n -point correlation functions $\Pi_{\gamma,\mu}^{(z_i,\alpha_i)_i}(\hat{g}, F)$ transform under conformal reparametrization of the sphere. The KPZ formula describes precisely the rule for these transformations. More precisely, let $\psi : \overline{\mathbb{R}^2} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}^2}$ be a conformal automorphism of the whole sphere, i.e. a Möbius transform. We claim (recall (3.12))

Theorem 3.5. (Field theoretic KPZ formula) *Let ψ be a Möbius transform of the sphere. Then*

$$\Pi_{\gamma,\mu}^{(\psi(z_i),\alpha_i)_i}(\hat{g}, 1) = \prod_i |\psi'(z_i)|^{-2\Delta_{\alpha_i}} \Pi_{\gamma,\mu}^{(z_i,\alpha_i)_i}(\hat{g}, 1).$$

Let us now define the law of the Liouville field on the sphere.

Definition 3.6. (Liouville field) *We define a probability law $\mathbb{P}_{(z_i,\alpha_i)_i,\hat{g}}^{\gamma,\mu}$ on $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2, \hat{g})$ (with expectation $\mathbb{E}_{(z_i,\alpha_i)_i,\hat{g}}^{\gamma,\mu}$) by*

$$\mathbb{E}_{(z_i,\alpha_i)_i,\hat{g}}^{\gamma,\mu}[F(\phi)] = \frac{\Pi_{\gamma,\mu}^{(z_i,\alpha_i)_i}(\hat{g}, F)}{\Pi_{\gamma,\mu}^{(z_i,\alpha_i)_i}(\hat{g}, 1)},$$

for all bounded continuous functional on $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2, \hat{g})$.

We have the following result about the behaviour of the Liouville field under the Möbius transforms of the sphere

Theorem 3.7. *Let ψ be a Möbius transform of the sphere. The law of the Liouville field ϕ under $\mathbb{P}_{(z_i,\alpha_i)_i,\hat{g}}^{\gamma,\mu}$ is the same as that of $\phi \circ \psi + Q \ln |\psi'|$ under $\mathbb{P}_{(\psi(z_i),\alpha_i)_i,\hat{g}}^{\gamma,\mu}$.*

Proof of Theorems 3.5 and 3.7. We start from the relation (3.13). Let

$$H_{\hat{g}}^{\psi}(z) = \sum_i \alpha_i G_{\hat{g}}(\psi(z_i), z).$$

We apply Proposition 2.6 to $f = e^{\gamma H_{\hat{g}}^{\psi}}$. By (3.19) we can take the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{\gamma,\mu}^{(\psi(z_i),\alpha_i)_i}(\hat{g}, F) &= e^{C(\psi(\mathbf{z}))} \prod_i \hat{g}(\psi(z_i))^{\Delta_{\alpha_i}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{sc} \mathbb{E} \left[F(c + X_{\hat{g}} \circ \psi^{-1} - m_{\hat{g},\psi}(X_{\hat{g}}) + H_{\hat{g}}^{\psi} + Q/2 \ln \hat{g}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \exp \left(-\mu e^{\gamma(c - m_{\hat{g},\psi}(X_{\hat{g}}))} \int e^{\gamma(H_{\hat{g}}^{\psi} \circ \psi + \frac{Q}{2}\phi)} dM_{\gamma} \right) \right] dc. \end{aligned}$$

where we denoted $s = \sum_i \alpha_i - 2Q$. Next, use the shift invariance of the Lebesgue measure (we make the change of variables $c = c' + m_{\hat{g},\psi}(X_{\hat{g}})$) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{\gamma,\mu}^{(\psi(z_i),\alpha_i)_i}(\hat{g}, F) &= e^{C(\psi(\mathbf{z}))} \prod_i \hat{g}(\psi(z_i))^{\Delta_{\alpha_i}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{sc} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{sm_{\hat{g},\psi}(X_{\hat{g}})} F(c + X_{\hat{g}} \circ \psi^{-1} + H_{\hat{g},\psi} + Q/2 \ln \hat{g}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \exp \left(-\mu e^{\gamma c} \int e^{\gamma(H_{\hat{g},\psi} \circ \psi + \frac{Q}{2}\phi)} dM_{\gamma} \right) \right] dc. \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

Now we apply the Girsanov transform to the term $e^{sm_{\hat{g}_\psi}(X_{\hat{g}})}$ where $m_{\hat{g}_\psi}(X_{\hat{g}}) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int X_{\hat{g}} e^\phi d\lambda_{\hat{g}}$ and $e^\phi = \frac{|\psi'|^2 \hat{g} \circ \psi}{\hat{g}}$. This has the effect of shifting the law of the field $X_{\hat{g}}$, which becomes

$$X_{\hat{g}} + \frac{s}{4\pi} G_{\hat{g}} e^\phi.$$

The variance of this Girsanov transform is $s^2 D_\psi$ where

$$D_\psi = \frac{1}{4\pi} m_{\hat{g}}(e^\phi G_{\hat{g}} e^\phi) = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{\hat{g}}(z, z') \lambda_{g_\psi}(dz) \lambda_{g_\psi}(dz'), \quad (3.29)$$

i.e. the whole partition function will be multiplied by $e^{\frac{s^2}{2} D_\psi}$.

Plugging in the shifted field to (3.28) we need to compute $H_{\hat{g}, \psi} \circ \psi + \frac{s}{4\pi} G_{\hat{g}} e^\phi$. First, using (2.11) for $(H_{\hat{g}}^\psi \circ \psi)(z) = \sum_i \alpha_i G_{\hat{g}}(\psi(z), \psi(z_i))$ we get

$$H_{\hat{g}}^\psi \circ \psi = H_{\hat{g}} - \frac{\sum \alpha_i}{4} \phi(z) - \frac{1}{4} \sum_i \alpha_i \phi(z_i).$$

Next, to compute $G_{\hat{g}} e^\phi$ note that both metrics \hat{g} and $\hat{g}_\psi = e^\phi \hat{g}$ have Ricci curvature 2. Hence from (2.1) we infer $e^\phi = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\hat{g}} \phi$ and thus

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} G_{\hat{g}} e^\phi = \frac{1}{4} (\phi - m_{\hat{g}}(\phi)). \quad (3.30)$$

Combining we get

$$H_{\hat{g}, \psi} \circ \psi + \frac{s}{4\pi} G_{\hat{g}} e^\phi = H_{\hat{g}} - \frac{Q}{2} \phi(z) - \frac{1}{4} \sum_i \alpha_i \phi(z_i) - \frac{s}{4} m_{\hat{g}}(\phi).$$

Thus (3.28) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{\gamma, \mu}^{(\psi(z_i) \alpha_i)_i}(\hat{g}, F) &= e^{C(\psi(\mathbf{z}))} \left(\prod_i \hat{g}(\psi(z_i))^{\Delta \alpha_i} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{sc} \mathbb{E} \left[F(c' + (X_{\hat{g}} + H_{\hat{g}} + Q/2(\ln \hat{g} - \ln |\psi'|^2)) \circ \psi^{-1}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \exp(-\mu e^{\gamma c'} \int e^{\gamma H_{\hat{g}}} dM_\gamma) \right] dc e^{\frac{s^2}{2} D_\psi}. \end{aligned}$$

where

$$c' = c - \frac{s}{4} m_{\hat{g}}(\phi) - \frac{1}{4} \sum_i \alpha_i \phi(z_i).$$

By a shift in the c -integral we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{\gamma, \mu}^{(\psi(z_i) \alpha_i)_i}(\hat{g}, F) &= e^{C(\psi(\mathbf{z}))} \prod_i \hat{g}(\psi(z_i))^{\Delta \alpha_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{sc} \mathbb{E} \left[F(c + (X_{\hat{g}} + H_{\hat{g}} + Q/2(\ln \hat{g} - \ln |\psi'|^2)) \circ \psi^{-1}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \exp(-\mu e^{\gamma c} \int e^{\gamma H_{\hat{g}}} dM_\gamma) \right] dc e^{\frac{s}{4} \sum_i \alpha_i \phi(z_i)} e^{\frac{s^2}{2} (D_\psi + \frac{1}{2} m_{\hat{g}}(\phi))} \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

Combining (3.6) with (2.11) we have

$$C(\psi(\mathbf{z})) = C(\mathbf{z}) - \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i \neq j} \alpha_i \alpha_j (\phi(z_i) + \phi(z_j)) = C(\mathbf{z}) - \frac{\sum_i \alpha_i}{4} \sum_j \alpha_j \phi(z_j) + \frac{1}{4} \sum_i \alpha_i^2 \phi(z_i).$$

Since $|\psi'(z_i)|^2 \hat{g}(\psi(z_i)) = e^{\phi(z_i)} \hat{g}(z_i)$ and $\Delta_{\alpha_i} = -\frac{1}{4}\alpha_i \alpha_i + \frac{Q}{2}\alpha_i$ we conclude

$$e^{C(\psi(\mathbf{z}))} \prod_i \hat{g}(\psi(z_i))^{\Delta_{\alpha_i}} e^{\frac{s}{4} \sum_i \alpha_i \phi(z_i)} = e^{C(\mathbf{z})} \prod_i (|\psi'(z_i)|^{-2} \hat{g}(z_i))^{\Delta_{\alpha_i}}.$$

The proof is completed by the identity

$$D_\psi = -\frac{1}{2} m_{\hat{g}}(\phi) \quad (3.32)$$

proven in the appendix. \square

3.3 The Liouville measure

Here, we study the Liouville measure $Z(\cdot)$, the law of which is defined for all Borel sets $A_1, \dots, A_k \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ by

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_{(z_i, \alpha_i)_{i, \hat{g}}}^{\gamma, \mu} [F(Z(A_1), \dots, Z(A_k))] \\ &= (\Pi_{\gamma, \mu}(z_i, \alpha_i)_i(\hat{g}, 1))^{-1} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \left[F \left((e^{\gamma c} \epsilon^{\frac{\gamma^2}{2}} \int_{A_j} e^{\gamma(X_{\hat{g}, \epsilon} + Q/2 \ln \hat{g})_j}) \prod_i \epsilon^{\frac{\alpha_i^2}{2}} e^{\alpha_i(c + X_{\hat{g}, \epsilon} + Q/2 \ln \hat{g})(z_i)} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \exp \left(-\frac{Q}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} R_{\hat{g}}(c + X_{\hat{g}}) d\lambda_{\hat{g}} - \mu e^{\gamma c} \epsilon^{\frac{\gamma^2}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\gamma(X_{\hat{g}, \epsilon} + Q/2 \ln \hat{g})} d\lambda \right) \right] dc. \end{aligned}$$

In what follows, we call $Z_0(\cdot)$ the measure defined under \mathbb{P} by

$$Z_0(A) := \int_A e^{\gamma H_{\hat{g}}} dM_\gamma$$

so that Z_0 in (3.11) is $Z_0(\mathbb{R}^2)$. We have:

Proposition 3.8. *Under $\mathbb{P}_{(z_i, \alpha_i)_{i, \hat{g}}}^{\gamma, \mu}$, the Liouville measure is given for all A_1, \dots, A_k by*

$$\mathbb{E}_{(z_i, \alpha_i)_{i, \hat{g}}}^{\gamma, \mu} [F(Z(A_1), \dots, Z(A_k))] = \frac{\int_0^\infty \mathbb{E} \left[F \left(y \frac{Z_0(A_1)}{Z_0(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \dots, y \frac{Z_0(A_k)}{Z_0(\mathbb{R}^2)} \right) Z_0(\mathbb{R}^2)^{-\frac{\sum_i \alpha_i - 2Q}{\gamma}} \right] e^{-\mu y} y^{\frac{\sum_i \alpha_i - 2Q}{\gamma} - 1} dy}{\mu^{\frac{2Q - \sum_i \alpha_i}{\gamma}} \Gamma \left(\frac{\sum_i \alpha_i - 2Q}{\gamma} \right) \mathbb{E} \left[Z_0(\mathbb{R}^2)^{-\frac{\sum_i \alpha_i - 2Q}{\gamma}} \right]}.$$

In particular,

1) the volume of the space $Z(\mathbb{R}^2)$ follows the Gamma distribution $\Gamma \left(\frac{\sum_i \alpha_i - 2Q}{\gamma}, \mu \right)$, meaning

$$\forall F \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+), \quad \mathbb{E}_{(z_i, \alpha_i)_{i, \hat{g}}}^{\gamma, \mu} [F(Z(\mathbb{R}^2))] = \frac{\mu^{\frac{\sum_i \alpha_i - 2Q}{\gamma}}}{\Gamma \left(\frac{\sum_i \alpha_i - 2Q}{\gamma} \right)} \int_0^\infty F(y) y^{\frac{\sum_i \alpha_i - 2Q}{\gamma} - 1} e^{-\mu y} dy.$$

2) the law of the random measure $Z(\cdot)$ conditionally on $Z(\mathbb{R}^2) = A$ is given by

$$\mathbb{E}_{(z_i, \alpha_i)_{i, \hat{g}}}^{\gamma, \mu} [F(Z(\cdot)) | Z(\mathbb{R}^2) = A] = \frac{\mathbb{E} \left[F \left(A \frac{Z_0(\cdot)}{Z_0(\mathbb{R}^2)} \right) Z_0(\mathbb{R}^2)^{-\frac{\sum_i \alpha_i - 2Q}{\gamma}} \right]}{\mathbb{E} \left[Z_0(\mathbb{R}^2)^{-\frac{\sum_i \alpha_i - 2Q}{\gamma}} \right]}$$

for any continuous bounded functional F on the space of finite measures equipped with the topology of weak convergence.

3) Under $\mathbb{P}_{(z_i, \alpha_i)_i, \hat{g}}^{\gamma, \mu}$, the law of the random measure $Z(\cdot)/A$ conditioned on $Z(\mathbb{R}^2) = A$ does not depend on A and is explicitly given by

$$\mathbb{E}_{(z_i, \alpha_i)_i, \hat{g}}^{\gamma, \mu} [F(Z(\cdot)/A) | Z(\mathbb{R}^2) = A] = \frac{\mathbb{E} \left[F \left(\frac{Z_0(\cdot)}{Z_0(\mathbb{R}^2)} Z_0(\mathbb{R}^2) \right)^{-\frac{\sum_i \alpha_i - 2Q}{\gamma}} \right]}{\mathbb{E} \left[Z_0(\mathbb{R}^2)^{-\frac{\sum_i \alpha_i - 2Q}{\gamma}} \right]}.$$

Proof. Taking the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in the relation (3.5) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_{(z_i, \alpha_i)_i, \hat{g}}^{\gamma, \mu} [F(Z(A_1), \dots, Z(A_k))] \\ &= (\Pi_{(z_i, \alpha_i)_i}^{\gamma, \mu}(\hat{g}, 1))^{-1} \left(\prod_i \hat{g}(z_i)^{-\frac{\alpha_i^2}{4} + \frac{Q}{2}\alpha_i} \right) e^{C(\hat{g})} \\ & \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{(\sum_i \alpha_i - 2Q)c} \mathbb{E} \left[F(e^{\gamma c} Z_0(A_1), \dots, e^{\gamma c} Z_0(A_k)) \exp(-\mu e^{\gamma c} Z_0(\mathbb{R}^2)) \right] dc. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, let us make the change of variables $e^{\gamma c} Z_0(\mathbb{R}^2) = y$ to complete the proof. \square

3.4 Changes of conformal metrics, Weyl anomaly and central charge

In this section, we want to study how the Liouville partition function (3.1) depends on the background metric g conformally equivalent to the spherical metric in the sense of Section 2.1, say $g = e^\varphi \hat{g}$.

By making the change of variables $y \rightarrow y - m_{\hat{g}}(X_g)$ in (3.1) and using Proposition 2.2, we can and will replace X_g by $X_{\hat{g}}$ in the expression (3.1).

Now we apply the Girsanov transform to the curvature term $e^{-\frac{Q}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} R_g X_g d\lambda_g}$. Since by (2.1) $R_g \lambda_g = (R_{\hat{g}} - \Delta_{\hat{g}} \varphi) \lambda_{\hat{g}}$ this has the effect of shifting the field $X_{\hat{g}}$ by

$$-\frac{Q}{4\pi} G_{\hat{g}}(R_{\hat{g}} - \Delta_{\hat{g}} \varphi) = -\frac{Q}{2}(\varphi - m_{\hat{g}}(\varphi))$$

where we used $G_{\hat{g}} R_{\hat{g}} = 0$ (since $R_{\hat{g}}$ is constant).

This Girsanov transform has also the effect of multiplying the whole partition function by the exponential of

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{Q^2}{32\pi^2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} R_g(z) G_{\hat{g}}(z, z') R_g(z') \lambda_g(dz) \lambda_g(dz') \\ &= \frac{Q^2}{16\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} R_g(\varphi - m_{\hat{g}}(\varphi)) d\lambda_g \\ &= \frac{Q^2}{16\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (R_{\hat{g}} - \Delta_{\hat{g}} \varphi)(\varphi - m_{\hat{g}}(\varphi)) d\lambda_{\hat{g}} \quad (\text{use (2.1)}) \\ &= \frac{Q^2}{16\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial^{\hat{g}} \varphi|^2 d\lambda_{\hat{g}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by making the change of variables $c \rightarrow c + Q/2m_{\hat{g}}(\varphi)$ to get rid of the constant $m_{\hat{g}}(\varphi)$ in the expectation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{\gamma,\mu}^{(z_i\alpha_i)_i}(g, F) &= e^{\frac{1}{96\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial^{\hat{g}}\varphi|^2 + 2R_{\hat{g}}\varphi d\lambda_{\hat{g}} + \frac{Q^2}{16\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial^{\hat{g}}\varphi|^2 d\lambda_{\hat{g}} + Q^2 m_{\hat{g}}(\varphi)} \\ &\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \left[F(X_{\hat{g}} + c + Q/2 \ln \hat{g}) \prod_i \epsilon^{\frac{\alpha_i^2}{2}} e^{\alpha_i(c + X_{\hat{g},\epsilon} + Q/2 \ln \hat{g})} \right. \\ &\left. \exp \left(-\frac{Q}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} R_g c d\lambda_g - \mu e^{\gamma v} \epsilon^{\frac{\gamma^2}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\gamma X_{\hat{g},\epsilon} + Q/2 \ln \hat{g}} d\lambda \right) \right] dc. \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

Now we observe that the Gauss-Bonnet theorem entails

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} R_g c d\lambda_g = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} R_{\hat{g}} c d\lambda_{\hat{g}}$$

because c is a constant. Therefore, using $Q^2 m_{\hat{g}}(\varphi) = \frac{6Q^2}{96\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} 2R_{\hat{g}}\varphi d\lambda_{\hat{g}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{\gamma,\mu}^{(z_i\alpha_i)_i}(g, F) &= e^{\frac{1+6Q^2}{96\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial^{\hat{g}}\varphi|^2 + 2R_{\hat{g}}\varphi d\lambda_{\hat{g}}} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \left[F(X_{\hat{g}} + c + Q/2 \ln \hat{g}) \prod_i \epsilon^{\frac{\alpha_i^2}{2}} e^{\alpha_i(c + X_{\hat{g},\epsilon} + Q/2 \ln \hat{g})} \right. \\ &\left. \exp \left(-\frac{Q}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} R_{\hat{g}}(c + X_{\hat{g}}) d\lambda_{\hat{g}} - \mu e^{\gamma c} \epsilon^{\frac{\gamma^2}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\gamma X_{\hat{g},\epsilon} + Q/2 \ln \hat{g}} d\lambda \right) \right] dc \\ &= e^{\frac{1+6Q^2}{96\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial^{\hat{g}}\varphi|^2 + 2R_{\hat{g}}\varphi d\lambda_{\hat{g}}} \Pi_{\gamma,\mu}^{(z_i\alpha_i)_i}(\hat{g}, F). \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

We can rewrite the above relation in a more classical physics language

Theorem 3.9. (Weyl anomaly and central charge)

1. We have the so-called **Weyl anomaly**

$$\Pi_{\gamma,\mu}^{(z_i\alpha_i)_i}(e^{\varphi}\hat{g}, F) = \exp \left(\frac{c_L}{96\pi} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial\varphi|^2 d\lambda + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} 2R_{\hat{g}}\varphi d\lambda_{\hat{g}} \right) \right) \Pi_{\gamma,\mu}^{(z_i\alpha_i)_i}(\hat{g}, F)$$

where

$$c_L = 1 + 6Q^2$$

is the **central charge** of the Liouville theory.

2. The law of the Liouville field ϕ under $\mathbb{P}_{(z_i,\alpha_i)_i,g}^{\gamma,\mu}$ is independent of the metric g in the conformal equivalence class of \hat{g} .

Notice that the above theorem can be reformulated as a **Polyakov-Ray-Singer formula** for LQG, see [44] and [43, 49] for more on this topic.

4 About the $\gamma \geq 2$ branches of Liouville Quantum Gravity

Here we discuss various situations that may arise in the study of the case $\gamma \geq 2$. We want this discussion to be very concise, so we just give the results as well as references in order to find the tools required to carry out the computations in full details. Yet, we stress that the computations consist in following verbatim the strategy of this paper. In what follows, we will only give the partition function in the round metric as the Weyl anomaly then gives straightforwardly the partition function for any metric conformally equivalent to the spherical metric.

4.1 The case $\gamma = 2$ or string theory

The case $\gamma = 2$ corresponds to $Q = 2$ and is very important in string theory, see the excellent review [34] as well as the original paper [43]. The partition function of LQG is then the limit

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{2,\mu}^{(z_i\alpha_i)_i}(\hat{g}, F) & \quad (4.1) \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \left[F(X_{\hat{g}} + c + \ln \hat{g}) \prod_i \epsilon^{\frac{\alpha_i^2}{2}} e^{\alpha_i(c + X_{\hat{g},\epsilon} + \ln \hat{g})}(z_i) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} R_{\hat{g}}(c + X_{\hat{g},\epsilon}) d\lambda_{\hat{g}} - \mu \sqrt{2/\pi} e^{2c} (-\ln \epsilon)^{1/2} \epsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{2X_{\hat{g},\epsilon} + 2\ln \hat{g}} d\lambda \right) \right] dc. \end{aligned}$$

Notice the additional square root $(-\ln \epsilon)^{1/2}$ in order to get a non trivial renormalized interaction term⁴. After carrying the same computations than in (3.5) and taking the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{2,\mu}^{(z_i\alpha_i)_i}(\hat{g}, F) &= \left(\prod_i \hat{g}(z_i)^{-\frac{\alpha_i^2}{4} + \alpha_i} \right) e^{C(\mathbf{z})} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{(\sum_i \alpha_i - 4)c} \mathbb{E} \left[F(c - \theta_{\hat{g}} + X_{\hat{g}} + H_{\hat{g}} + \ln \hat{g}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \exp \left(-\mu e^{2c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{2H_{\hat{g}}(x)} \hat{g}(x) M'(dx) \right) \right] dc, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where the measure $M'(dx)$ is defined by

$$M'(dx) = (2\mathbb{E}[X_{\hat{g}}^2] - X_{\hat{g}}) e^{\gamma X_{\hat{g}} - \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \mathbb{E}[X_{\hat{g}}^2]} \lambda_{\hat{g}}(dx)$$

and $C(\mathbf{z})$ defined as in (3.6). One can check as in subsection 3.5 that this partition function is conformally invariant. The convergence of probability of the renormalized measure $(-\ln \epsilon)^{1/2} \epsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{2X_{\hat{g},\epsilon} + 2\ln \hat{g}} d\lambda$ has been investigated in [22, 24] when $X_{\hat{g},\epsilon}$ is a white noise decomposition of the field $X_{\hat{g}}$, which can also be taken as a definition of the regularized field. Convergence in law of the circle average based regularization measure is carried out via the smooth Gaussian approximations introduced in [45]. Establishing the Seiberg bounds needs some extra care and can be handled via the conditioning techniques used in [48].

4.2 Freezing in LQG

For $\gamma > 2$ and $Q = 2$, one can define

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{\gamma,\mu}^{(z_i\alpha_i)_i}(\hat{g}, F) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \left[F(X_{\hat{g}} + c + \ln \hat{g}) \prod_i \epsilon^{\frac{\alpha_i^2}{2}} e^{\alpha_i(c + X_{\hat{g},\epsilon} + \ln \hat{g})}(z_i) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} R_{\hat{g}}(c + X_{\hat{g}}) d\lambda_{\hat{g}} - \mu e^{\gamma c} \epsilon^{2\gamma-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\gamma X_{\hat{g},\epsilon} + \gamma \ln \hat{g}} d\lambda \right) \right] dc. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Here we choose to use a white noise regularization of the field $X_{\hat{g}}$ to stick to the framework in [38]. Notice the unusual power of ϵ in order to non-trivially renormalize the interaction term, which gets dominated by the near extrema of the field $X_{g,\epsilon}$. Under this framework, the convergence in law of the random measures

$$(-\ln \epsilon)^{\frac{3\gamma}{4}} \epsilon^{2\gamma-2} e^{\gamma X_{\hat{g},\epsilon}} dx \rightarrow M'_{\frac{2}{\gamma}}(dx)$$

⁴The $\sqrt{2/\pi}$ term appears in relation with the results in [24] to make the $\gamma = 2$ case appear as a suitable limit of the $\gamma < 2$ case, see Conjecture 1 below.

is established in [38], where $M'_{\frac{2}{\bar{\gamma}}}(dx)$ is a random measure characterized by

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\frac{M'_2(f)}{\bar{\gamma}}}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{-c\gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x) \frac{2}{\bar{\gamma}} \hat{g}^{-1}(x) M'(dx)}].$$

Hence the convergence in law in the sense of weak convergence of measures

$$(-\ln \epsilon)^{\frac{3\bar{\gamma}}{4}} \epsilon^{2\bar{\gamma}-2} e^{\gamma X_{\hat{g}, \epsilon} + \gamma \ln \hat{g}} d\lambda \rightarrow \hat{g}^\gamma(x) M'_\alpha(dx).$$

We deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{\gamma, \mu}^{(z_i, \alpha_i)_i}(\hat{g}, F) & \tag{4.4} \\ &= \left(\prod_i \hat{g}(z_i)^{-\frac{\alpha_i^2}{4} + \alpha_i} \right) e^{C(\mathbf{z})} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{(\sum_i \alpha_i - 4)c} \mathbb{E} \left[F \left(c - \frac{\gamma}{2} \theta_{\hat{g}} + X_{\hat{g}} + H_{\hat{g}} + \ln \hat{g} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \times \exp \left(-\mu e^{\gamma c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\gamma H_{\hat{g}}(x)} \hat{g}(x) M'_{\frac{2}{\bar{\gamma}}}(dx) \right) \Big] dc, \\ &= \left(\prod_i \hat{g}(z_i)^{-\frac{\alpha_i^2}{4} + \alpha_i} \right) e^{C(\mathbf{z})} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{(\sum_i \alpha_i - 4)c} \mathbb{E} \left[F \left(c - \frac{\gamma}{2} \theta_{\hat{g}} + X_{\hat{g}} + H_{\hat{g}} + \ln \hat{g} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \times \exp \left(-c_\gamma \mu^{\frac{2}{\bar{\gamma}}} e^{2c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{2H_{\hat{g}}(x)} \hat{g}(x) M'(dx) \right) \Big] dc, \end{aligned}$$

with $C(\mathbf{z})$ given by 3.6. Up to the unusual shape of the cosmological constant, this is exactly the same partition function as in the critical case $\gamma = 2$. The difference is here the law of the Liouville measure $M'_{\frac{2}{\bar{\gamma}}}(dx)$, which can be seen as a $\alpha = \frac{2}{\bar{\gamma}}$ -stable transform of the derivative martingale M' and is now purely atomic (see [38] for further details).

4.3 Duality of LQG

The basic tools in order to carry out the following computations can be found in [5]. Define the dual partition function for $\bar{\gamma} > 2$ and $Q = \frac{2}{\bar{\gamma}} + \frac{\bar{\gamma}}{2}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Pi}_{\bar{\gamma}, \mu}^{(z_i, \alpha_i)_i}(\hat{g}, F) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \left[F(X_{\hat{g}} + c + Q/2 \ln \hat{g}) \prod_i \epsilon^{\frac{\alpha_i^2}{2}} e^{\alpha_i(c + X_{\hat{g}, \epsilon} + Q/2 \ln \hat{g})} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \exp \left(-\frac{Q}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} R_{\hat{g}}(c + X_{\hat{g}}) d\lambda_{\hat{g}} - \mu e^{\bar{\gamma}c} \epsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\bar{\gamma} X_{\hat{g}, \epsilon} + \bar{\gamma} Q/2 \ln \hat{g}} d\lambda_\alpha \right) \right] dc \tag{4.5} \end{aligned}$$

where λ_α is a α -stable Poisson measure with spatial intensity λ and $\alpha = 4/\bar{\gamma}^2$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Pi}_{\bar{\gamma}, \mu}^{(z_i, \alpha_i)_i}(\hat{g}, F) & \tag{4.6} \\ &= \left(\prod_i \hat{g}(z_i)^{-\frac{\alpha_i^2}{4} + \frac{Q}{2} \alpha_i} \right) e^{C(\mathbf{z})} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{(\sum_i \alpha_i - 2Q)c} \mathbb{E} \left[F \left(c - \frac{\bar{\gamma}}{2} \theta_{\hat{g}} + X_{\hat{g}} + H_{\hat{g}} + \frac{Q}{2} \ln \hat{g} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \times \exp \left(-\mu e^{\bar{\gamma}c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\bar{\gamma} H_{\hat{g}}(x)} \hat{g}^{\frac{\bar{\gamma}}{4}}(x) S'_\alpha(dx) \right) \Big] dc \end{aligned}$$

with $C(\mathbf{z})$ defined as usual and $S'_\alpha(dx)$ is a stable Poisson random measure with spatial intensity $e^{\gamma X_g - \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \mathbb{E}[X_g^2]} d\lambda$. By computing the expectation we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Pi}_{\gamma,\mu}^{(z_i\alpha_i)_i}(\hat{g}, 1) &= \left(\prod_i \hat{g}(z_i)^{-\frac{\alpha_i^2}{4} + \frac{Q}{2}\alpha_i} \right) e^{C(\mathbf{z})} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{(\sum_i \alpha_i - 2Q)c} \\ &\quad \times \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(-\mu \frac{\gamma^2}{4} \frac{4\Gamma(1 - \gamma^2/4)}{\gamma^2} e^{\gamma c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\gamma H_{\hat{g}}(x)} \hat{g} e^{\gamma X_g - \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \mathbb{E}[X_g^2]} d\lambda \right) \right] dc \\ &= \frac{\mu^{\frac{2Q - \sum_i \alpha_i}{\gamma}}}{\mu^{\frac{2Q - \sum_i \alpha_i}{\gamma}}} \left(\frac{4\Gamma(1 - \gamma^2/4)}{\gamma^2} \right)^{\frac{2Q - \sum_i \alpha_i}{\gamma}} \Pi_{\gamma,\mu}^{(z_i\alpha_i)_i}(\hat{g}, 1). \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Observe that this is an ad-hoc construction of duality (see also [20]). The very problem to fully justify the duality of LQG is to find a proper analytic continuation of the partition of LQG, i.e. the function

$$\gamma \mapsto \Pi_{\gamma,\mu}^{(z_i\alpha_i)_i}(\hat{g}, 1).$$

First observe that this mapping goes to ∞ as $\gamma \rightarrow 2$ and it is necessary to get rid of the pole at $\gamma = 2$. We make the following conjecture

Conjecture 1. *The function*

$$\gamma \mapsto \left(\frac{4\Gamma(1 - \gamma^2/4)}{\gamma^2} \right)^{\frac{2Q - \sum_i \alpha_i}{\gamma}} \Pi_{\gamma,\mu}^{(z_i\alpha_i)_i}(\hat{g}, 1)$$

is an analytic function of $\gamma \in]0, 2[$, which admits an analytic extension for $\gamma \geq 2$ given by $\bar{\Pi}_{\gamma,\mu}^{(z_i\alpha_i)_i}(\hat{g}, 1)$. Furthermore, this extension at $\gamma = 2$ is the partition function $\Pi_{2,\mu}^{(z_i\alpha_i)_i}(\hat{g}, 1)$ of the critical case.

We do not know how to establish analyticity but we stress that the above function is continuous on $]0, +\infty[$.

5 Perspectives

In this section, we give a brief overview of perspectives and open problems linked to this work.

The DOZZ formula

One of the interesting features of LQG is that it is a non minimal CFT but nevertheless physicists have conjectured exact formulas for the three point correlation function of the theory. This correlation function is very important because (in theory) one can compute all correlation functions of LQG from the knowledge of the three point correlation function. In LQG, the three point function is quite amazingly supposed to have a completely explicit form, the celebrated DOZZ formula [16, 53, 56]. More precisely, let $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ be three points satisfying the Seiberg bounds (3.8). Then, it is a straightforward consequence of theorem 3.4 (KPZ scaling laws) and theorem 3.5 (KPZ formula) that there exists some function $C_\gamma(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ such that:

$$\Pi_{\gamma,\mu}^{(z_i\alpha_i)_i}(\hat{g}, 1) = \mu^{\frac{2Q - \sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i}{\gamma}} C_\gamma(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) |z_1 - z_2|^{2\Delta_{12}} |z_2 - z_3|^{2\Delta_{23}} |z_1 - z_3|^{2\Delta_{13}} \quad (5.1)$$

where $\Delta_{12} = \Delta_{\alpha_3} - \Delta_{\alpha_1} - \Delta_{\alpha_2}$ and similarly for Δ_{13} and Δ_{23} . The DOZZ formula is then an exact formula for $C_\gamma(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ that we will not state here as it is quite complicated and involves introducing numerous special functions. Though proving the formula in full generality seems at the time difficult, let us mention that it should be possible to check the validity of the formula (and it's analytic continuation [31]) for special values of $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$.

The semi-classical limit

The semiclassical limit of LQG is the study of the concentration phenomena of the Liouville field around the extrema of the Liouville action for small γ , see [42, 31]. After a suitable rescaling of the parameters μ and $(\alpha_i)_i$, that is

$$\mu\gamma^2 = \Lambda, \quad \alpha_i = \frac{\chi_i}{\gamma} \quad (5.2)$$

for some fixed constants $\Lambda > 0$ and weights $(\chi_i)_i$ satisfying $\chi_i < 2$ and $\sum_i \chi_i > 4$, the Liouville field $\gamma\phi$ should converge in law towards $U + \ln \hat{g}$, where U is the solution of the classical Liouville equation with sources

$$\Delta_{\hat{g}} U - R_{\hat{g}} = 2\pi\Lambda e^U - 2\pi \sum_i \chi_i \delta_{z_i}, \quad \text{with } \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^U d\lambda_{\hat{g}} = \frac{\sum_i \chi_i - 4}{\Lambda}, \quad (5.3)$$

hence the name of the theory "Liouville quantum gravity". The reader may consult [35] for some partial results in the "toy model" situation where the zero modes have been turned off.

A Möbius transform relations

In this section, we gather a few relations concerning Möbius transforms and their behavior with respect to Green functions. Recall that the set of automorphisms of the Riemann sphere can be described in terms of the Möbius transforms

$$\psi(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}, \quad a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } ad - bc \neq 0.$$

Such a function preserves the cross ratios: for all distinct points $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\frac{(z_1 - z_3)(z_2 - z_4)}{(z_2 - z_3)(z_1 - z_4)} = \frac{(\psi(z_1) - \psi(z_3))(\psi(z_2) - \psi(z_4))}{(\psi(z_2) - \psi(z_3))(\psi(z_1) - \psi(z_4))}. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Recall that g_ψ stands for the metric $|\psi'|^2 \hat{g} \circ \psi$.

Proof of Proposition 2.1. We can rewrite the expression (2.8) with $g = g_\psi$ in a condensed way

$$G_{g_\psi}(x, y) = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} \ln \frac{|x - z||y - z'|}{|x - y||z - z'|} \lambda_{g_\psi}(dz) \lambda_{g_\psi}(dz').$$

By making a change of variables and use (A.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} G_{g_\psi}(x, y) &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} \ln \frac{|x - \psi^{-1}(z)||y - \psi^{-1}(z')|}{|x - y||\psi^{-1}(z) - \psi^{-1}(z')|} \lambda_{\hat{g}}(dz) \lambda_{\hat{g}}(dz'). \\ &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} \ln \frac{|\psi(x) - z||\psi(y) - z'|}{|\psi(x) - \psi(y)||z - z'|} \lambda_{\hat{g}}(dz) \lambda_{\hat{g}}(dz'). \end{aligned}$$

This is exactly the expression of $G_{\hat{g}}(\psi(x), \psi(y))$. □

Corollary A.1. *We have the following relations for all Möbius transforms ψ*

$$-2m_{\hat{g}}(\ln \frac{1}{|x - \cdot|}) = -\frac{1}{2} \ln \hat{g}(x) + \theta_{\hat{g}} + \ln 2 \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$-2m_{g_{\psi}}(\ln \frac{1}{|x - \cdot|}) + \theta_{g_{\psi}} = -\frac{1}{2} \ln \hat{g}(\psi(x)) - \ln |\psi'(x)| + \theta_{\hat{g}} + \ln 2. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Proof. We use the following relation

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln |x - \cdot| \lambda_{|\psi'|^2 \hat{g}(\psi)} = 2\pi(\ln(|ax + b|^2 + |cx + d|^2) - \ln(|a|^2 + |c|^2)). \quad (\text{A.4})$$

The proof of this identity is based on the fact that both sides have the same Laplacian and the difference of both functions goes to 0 as $|x|$ goes to infinity.

The first relation is a straightforward consequence of (A.4) with $\psi(z) = z$. One could use (A.4) as well to prove the second but another way (which we follow below) is to use (A.1). Write

$$\begin{aligned} -2m_{g_{\psi}}(\ln \frac{1}{|x - \cdot|}) + \theta_{g_{\psi}} &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln \frac{|x - z||x - z'|}{|z - z'|} \lambda_{g_{\psi}}(dz) \lambda_{g_{\psi}}(dz') \\ &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln \frac{|x - \psi^{-1}(z)||x - \psi^{-1}(z')|}{|\psi^{-1}(z) - \psi^{-1}(z')|} \lambda_{\hat{g}}(dz) \lambda_{\hat{g}}(dz') \end{aligned}$$

Observe that the mapping $(x, y) \mapsto \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln \frac{|x - \psi^{-1}(z)||y - \psi^{-1}(z')|}{|\psi^{-1}(z) - \psi^{-1}(z')|} \lambda_{\hat{g}}(dz) \lambda_{\hat{g}}(dz')$ is a continuous function so that we can write

$$\begin{aligned} &-2m_{g_{\psi}}(\ln \frac{1}{|x - \cdot|}) + \theta_{g_{\psi}} \\ &= \lim_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln \frac{|x - \psi^{-1}(z)||y - \psi^{-1}(z')|}{|\psi^{-1}(z) - \psi^{-1}(z')|} \lambda_{\hat{g}}(dz) \lambda_{\hat{g}}(dz') \\ &= \lim_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln \frac{|x - \psi^{-1}(z)||y - \psi^{-1}(z')|}{|x - y||\psi^{-1}(z) - \psi^{-1}(z')|} \lambda_{\hat{g}}(dz) \lambda_{\hat{g}}(dz') + \ln |x - y|. \end{aligned}$$

Now we can use the invariance of cross-products with respect to Möbius transforms to get

$$\begin{aligned} &-2m_{g_{\psi}}(\ln \frac{1}{|x - \cdot|}) + \theta_{g_{\psi}} \\ &= \lim_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln \frac{|\psi(x) - z||\psi(y) - z'|}{|\psi(x) - \psi(y)||z - z'|} \lambda_{\hat{g}}(dz) \lambda_{\hat{g}}(dz') + \ln |x - y| \\ &= \lim_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln \frac{|\psi(x) - z||\psi(y) - z'|}{|z - z'|} \lambda_{\hat{g}}(dz) \lambda_{\hat{g}}(dz') - \ln \frac{|\psi(x) - \psi(y)|}{|x - y|} \\ &= -2m_{\hat{g}}(\ln \frac{1}{|\psi(x) - \cdot|}) + \theta_{\hat{g}} - \ln |\psi'(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

We complete the proof thanks to (A.2). □

Lemma A.2. *The relations (2.11) and (3.32) hold.*

Proof. Using the relation (A.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& G_{\hat{g}}(\psi(x), \psi(z)) \\
&= \ln \frac{1}{|x-z|} + \frac{1}{2}(\ln(|ax+b|^2 + |cx+d|^2) - \ln(|a|^2 + |c|^2)) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}(\ln(|az+b|^2 + |cz+d|^2) - \ln(|a|^2 + |c|^2)) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{2}(\ln(|au+b|^2 + |cu+d|^2) - \ln(|a|^2 + |c|^2)) \lambda_{g_\psi}(du) \\
&= \ln \frac{1}{|x-z|} + \frac{1}{2} \ln(|ax+b|^2 + |cx+d|^2) + \frac{1}{2} \ln(|az+b|^2 + |cz+d|^2) - \frac{1}{2} \ln(|a|^2 + |c|^2) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{2} \ln(|au+b|^2 + |cu+d|^2) \lambda_{g_\psi}(du).
\end{aligned}$$

After integrating, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{\hat{g}}(\psi(x), \psi(z)) \hat{g}(z) dz &= -2\pi \ln(1 + |x|^2) + 2\pi \ln(|ax+b|^2 + |cx+d|^2) + \\
&\quad \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln(|az+b|^2 + |cz+d|^2) \lambda_{\hat{g}}(dz) - 2\pi \ln(|a|^2 + |c|^2) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln(|au+b|^2 + |cu+d|^2) \lambda_{g_\psi}(du).
\end{aligned}$$

At this stage, we will suppose that $ad - bc = 1$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln(|au+b|^2 + |cu+d|^2) \lambda_{g_\psi}(du) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln(|\psi'(u)|^2 \hat{g}(\psi(u))) \lambda_{g_\psi}(du) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln(\hat{g}(v)) \lambda_{\hat{g}}(dv) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln(|\psi'(u)|^2) \lambda_{g_\psi}(du) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln(\hat{g}(v)) \lambda_{\hat{g}}(dv) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln |cu+d| \lambda_{g_\psi}(du).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we introduce the function

$$G(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln |cx+d - cu - d| \lambda_{g_\psi}(du) = 4\pi \ln |c| + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln |x-u| \lambda_{g_\psi}(du).$$

By using equation (A.4), we get that

$$G(x) = 4\pi \ln |c| + 2\pi(\ln(|ax+b|^2 + |cx+d|^2) - \ln(|a|^2 + |c|^2))$$

Hence, we get that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln |cu+d| \lambda_{g_\psi}(du) = G\left(-\frac{d}{c}\right) = 4\pi \ln |c| - 4\pi \ln |c| - 2\pi \ln(|a|^2 + |c|^2) = -2\pi \ln(|a|^2 + |c|^2).$$

At the end, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{\hat{g}}(\psi(x), \psi(z)) \lambda_{\hat{g}}(dz) \\
&= -2\pi \ln(1 + |x|^2) + 2\pi \ln(|ax + b|^2 + |cx + d|^2) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln(|az + b|^2 + |cz + d|^2) \lambda_{\hat{g}}(dz) - 2\pi \ln(|a|^2 + |c|^2) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln(\hat{g}(v)) \lambda_{\hat{g}}(dv) + 2\pi \ln(|a|^2 + |c|^2) \\
&= -\pi \ln \frac{g_{\psi}(x)}{\hat{g}(x)} - \pi m_{\hat{g}} \left(\ln \frac{g_{\psi}(x)}{\hat{g}(x)} \right) = -\pi \phi(x) - \pi m_{\hat{g}}(\phi)
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{\hat{g}}(\psi(x), \psi(z)) \lambda_{\hat{g}}(dx) \lambda_{\hat{g}}(dz) = -\frac{1}{2} m_{\hat{g}}(\phi). \tag{A.5}$$

Recall now that $X_{\hat{g}} \circ \psi$ equals in law $X_{\hat{g}} - m_{g_{\psi}}(X_{\hat{g}})$ so that

$$G_{\hat{g}}(\psi(x), \psi(z)) = G_{\hat{g}}(x, y) - \frac{1}{4\pi} ((G_{\hat{g}}e^{\phi})(x) + (G_{\hat{g}}e^{\phi})(y)) + D_{\psi}$$

where $D_{\psi} = \frac{1}{4\pi} m_{\hat{g}}(e^{\phi} G_{\hat{g}} e^{\phi})$. Using (3.30) this becomes

$$D_{\psi} = \frac{1}{4\pi} (m_{g_{\psi}}(\phi) - m_{\hat{g}}(\phi)) \tag{A.6}$$

and the applying (3.30) again we get

$$G_{\hat{g}}(\psi(x), \psi(z)) = G_{\hat{g}}(x, y) - \frac{1}{4} (\phi(x) + \phi(y)) + \frac{1}{2} (m_{\hat{g}}(\phi) + m_{g_{\psi}}(\phi)).$$

(A.5) implies

$$-\frac{1}{2} m_{\hat{g}}(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} m_{g_{\psi}}(\phi)$$

which yields (2.11) and combining with (A.6) we also get (3.32). \square

References

- [1] L. F. Alday, D. Gaiotto, and Y. Tachikawa. Liouville Correlation Functions from Four Dimensional Gauge Theories, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, **91** 167-197 (2010).
- [2] Andres S., Kajino N.: Continuity and estimates of the Liouville heat kernel with applications to spectral dimensions, arXiv:1407.3240.
- [3] Astala K., Kupiainen A., Saksman E., Jones P.: Random conformal weldings, *Acta Mathematica* 2011, Volume 207, Issue 2, pp 203-254.
- [4] Aru J.: KPZ relation does not hold for the level lines and the SLE flow lines of the Gaussian free field, arXiv:1312.1324.
- [5] Barral J., Jin X., Rhodes R., Vargas V.: Gaussian multiplicative chaos and KPZ duality, *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, Volume 323, Issue 2, pp 451-485 .

- [6] Berestycki N.: Diffusion in planar Liouville quantum gravity, to appear in *Annales de l'institut Henri Poincaré*, arXiv:1301.3356.
- [7] Berestycki N., Garban C., Rhodes R., Vargas V.: KPZ formula derived from Liouville heat kernel, arXiv:1406.7280.
- [8] Brown L.; Stress-tensor trace anomaly in a gravitational metric: Scalar fields, *Phys. Rev. D* **15**, 1469-1483 (1976).
- [9] Capper D. M., Duff M. J. Trace anomalies in dimensional regularization, *Nuovo Cimento A* **23** 173 (1974).
- [10] Coleman S., Jackiw R. Why dilatation generators do not generate dilatations, *Annals of Physics* **67**, 552-598 (1971).
- [11] Curien N.: A glimpse of the conformal structure of random planar maps, arXiv:1308.1807, to appear in *Commun. Math. Phys.*
- [12] David F.: Conformal Field Theories Coupled to 2-D Gravity in the Conformal Gauge, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **3** 1651-1656 (1988).
- [13] David F., Bauer M., Another derivation of the geometrical KPZ relations, *J.Stat.Mech.* 0903 P03004 (2009).
- [14] Di Francesco P., Kutasov D.: World-sheet and space-time physics in two-dimensional (super) string theory, *Nuclear Physics B* **375**, 119-170 (1992).
- [15] Distler J., Kawai H.: Conformal Field Theory and 2-D Quantum Gravity or Who's Afraid of Joseph Liouville?, *Nucl. Phys.* **B321** 509-517 (1989).
- [16] Dorn H., Otto H.-J.: Two and three-point functions in Liouville theory, *Nucl.Phys. B* **429** (1994) 375-388
- [17] Dotsenko V.I.S., Fateev V. A.: Four-point correlation functions and the operator algebra in 2D conformal invariant theories with central charge $c \leq 1$, *Nuclear Physics B* **251**, 691-734 (1985).
- [18] Dubédat J.: SLE and the Free Field: partition functions and couplings, *Journal of the AMS*, **22** (4), 995-1054 (2009).
- [19] Duff M. J., Observations on conformal anomalies, *Nucl. Phys. B* **125**, 334-348 (1977).
- [20] Duplantier B.: A rigorous perspective on Liouville quantum gravity and KPZ, in *Exact Methods in Low-dimensional Statistical Physics and Quantum Computing*, J. Jacobsen, S. Ouvry, V. Pasquier, D. Serban, and L.F. Cugliandolo, eds., Lecture Notes of the Les Houches Summer School: Volume 89, July 2008, Oxford University Press (Clarendon, Oxford) (2010).
- [21] Duplantier, B., Sheffield, S.: Liouville Quantum Gravity and KPZ, *Inventiones Mathematicae* **185** (2) (2011) 333-393.
- [22] Duplantier B., Rhodes R., Sheffield S., Vargas V.: Critical Gaussian multiplicative chaos: convergence of the derivative martingale, arXiv:1206.1671v2, to appear in *Annals of Probability*.
- [23] Duplantier B., Rhodes R., Sheffield S., Vargas V.: Log-correlated Gaussian fields: an overview, arXiv:1407.5605.
- [24] Duplantier B., Rhodes R., Sheffield S., Vargas V.: Renormalization of Critical Gaussian Multiplicative Chaos and KPZ formula, to appear in *Commun. Math. Phys.*, arXiv:1212.0529v2 [math.PR].
- [25] Garban C.: Quantum gravity and the KPZ formula, séminaire Bourbaki, 64e année, 2011-2012, no 1052.
- [26] Garban C., Rhodes R., Vargas V.: Liouville Brownian Motion, arXiv:1301.2876v2 [math.PR].

- [27] Garban C., Rhodes R., Vargas V.: On the heat kernel and the Dirichlet form of Liouville Brownian Motion, arXiv:1302.6050 [math.PR].
- [28] Gawedzki K.: Lectures on conformal field theory. In Quantum fields and strings: A course for mathematicians, Vols. 1, 2 (Princeton, NJ, 1996/1997), pages 727805. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [29] Goulian M., Li M.: Correlation Functions in Liouville Theory, *Physical Review Letters* **66** (16), 2051-2055 (1991).
- [30] Gupta A., Trivedi S.P., Wise M.B.: Random surfaces in conformal gauge, *Nuclear Physics B* **340**, 475-490 (1990).
- [31] Harlow D., Maltz J., Witten E.: Analytic continuation of Liouville theory, *Journal of High Energy Physics* 2011, 71, arXiv:hep-th/1108.4417.
- [32] Kahane, J.-P.: Sur le chaos multiplicatif, *Ann. Sci. Math. Québec*, **9** no.2 (1985), 105-150.
- [33] Knizhnik, V.G., Polyakov, A.M., Zamolodchikov, A.B.: Fractal structure of 2D-quantum gravity, *Modern Phys. Lett A*, **3**(8) (1988), 819-826.
- [34] Klebanov I.: String theory in two dimensions. arXiv:hep-th/9108019, *lectures at the 1991 ICTP Spring School*.
- [35] Lacoïn H, Rhodes R., Vargas V.: Large deviations for random surfaces: the hyperbolic nature of Liouville Field Theory, arXiv:1401.6001.
- [36] Ledoux. M. The concentration of measure phenomenon, volume 89 of Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.
- [37] Le Gall J.-F.: Uniqueness and universality of the Brownian map, *Annals of Probability* **41** (4), 2880-2960.
- [38] Madaule T., Rhodes R., Vargas V.: Glassy phase and freezing of log-correlated Gaussian potentials, to appear in *Annals of Applied Probability*, arXiv:1310.5574.
- [39] Maillard P., Rhodes R., Vargas V., Zeitouni O.: Liouville heat kernel: regularity and bounds, arXiv:1406.0491v2.
- [40] Miermont G.: The Brownian map is the scaling limit of uniform random plane quadrangulations, *Acta Mathematica* **210** (2), 319-401.
- [41] Miller J., Sheffield S.: Quantum Loewner evolution, arXiv:1312.5745.
- [42] Nakayama Y.: Liouville field theory: a decade after the revolution, *Int.J.Mod.Phys. A* **19**, 2771-2930 (2004).
- [43] Polyakov A.M., Quantum geometry of bosonic strings, *Phys. Lett.* **103B** 207 (1981).
- [44] D.B.Ray, I.M. Singer: R-torsion and the Laplacian on Riemannian Manifolds, *Advances in Math.* **7** (1971), 145-210.
- [45] Rhodes R., Vargas, V.: Gaussian multiplicative chaos and applications: a review, to appear in *Probability Surveys*, arXiv:1305.6221v1.
- [46] Rhodes, R. Vargas, V.: KPZ formula for log-infinitely divisible multifractal random measures, *ESAIM Probability and Statistics*, **15** (2011) 358.
- [47] Rhodes, R. Vargas, V.: Spectral dimension of Liouville quantum gravity, to appear in *Annales Henri Poincaré*, arXiv:1305.0154.
- [48] Rhodes R., Vargas V.: Liouville Brownian Motion at criticality, arXiv:1311.5847.

- [49] Sarnak P.: Determinants of Laplacians, heights and finiteness, in *Analysis Etc.*, Acad. Press, P. Rabinowitz, ed., (1990).
- [50] Seiberg N.: Notes on Quantum Liouville Theory and Quantum Gravity, *Progress of Theoretical Physics*, suppl. 102, 1990.
- [51] Shamov A.: On Gaussian multiplicative chaos, arXiv:1407.4418.
- [52] Sheffield S.: Gaussian free fields for mathematicians, *Probab. Th. Rel. Fields*, **139** 521-541 (2007).
- [53] Teschner J.: On the Liouville three point function, *Phys. Letter B363* (1995), 65-70, arXiv:hep-th/9507109.
- [54] Sheffield S.: Conformal weldings of random surfaces: SLE and the quantum gravity zipper, arXiv:1012.4797.
- [55] Troyanov M.: Prescribing curvature on compact surfaces with conical singularities, *Trans. of the A.M.S*, vol. 324, no 2, 1991.
- [56] Zamolodchikov A.B, Zamolodchikov A.B.: Structure constants and conformal bootstrap in Liouville field theory, *Nucl. Phys. B* **477**, 577-605 (1996).