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ABSTRACT
A Poisson-Gaussian model accurately describes the noise present in many imaging systems such as CCD cameras or fluorescence microscopy. However, most existing restoration strategies rely on approximations of the Poisson-Gaussian noise statistics. We propose a convex optimization algorithm for the reconstruction of signals degraded by a linear operator and corrupted with mixed Poisson-Gaussian noise. The originality of our approach consists of considering the exact continuous-discrete model corresponding to the data statistics. After establishing the Lipschitz differentiability of the Poisson-Gaussian log-likelihood, we derive a primal-dual iterative scheme for minimizing the associated penalized criterion. The proposed method is applicable to a large choice of penalty terms. The robustness of our scheme allows us to handle computational difficulties due to infinite sums arising from the computation of the gradient of the criterion. The proposed approach is validated on image restoration examples.

Index Terms— convex optimization, image restoration, denoising, deconvolution.

1. INTRODUCTION

Noise in many real imaging systems can be accurately described through a mixed Poisson-Gaussian model. For example, it is frequently encountered in astronomy [1, 2], medicine [3] and biology [4]. There has been a growing interest for denoising problems involving images corrupted in this fashion [5, 6, 7]. However, the literature involving this model together with deconvolution and reconstruction problems remains scarce. Among existing works, Benvenuto et al. [1] proposed a scaled gradient method and more recently Gil-Rodrigo et al. [8] developed an alternating minimization algorithm.

Generally, restoration strategies are grounded on some approximations of the noise statistics, which may be detrimental to the quality of the results. The use of approximations is motivated by the mathematical difficulties raised by the Poisson-Gaussian model. Indeed, the corresponding probability distribution has a discrete-continuous nature, and the expression of the associated log-likelihood function involves an infinite sum. For simplification, one usually neglects either the Poisson or the Gaussian component, or performs an approximation of the Poisson-Gaussian model based on variance stabilization techniques [9, 10].

In this paper, we investigate the properties of the Poisson-Gaussian negative log-likelihood, showing that it is a convex Lipschitz differentiable function. Since the gradient of the Poisson-Gaussian log-likelihood requires the computation of infinite series, we propose utilizing proximal optimization methods, since their convergence is guaranteed even in the presence of summable numerical errors. Among recent approaches, only a few primal-dual splitting algorithms [11, 12] cope with the sum of a gradient Lipschitz term and a possibly non-smooth penalty term. Such a term can model a wide range of prior information, e.g. criteria promoting sparsity in a frame, total-variation and more generally hybrid regularization functions.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 investigates the Poisson-Gaussian model and introduces the notation used in this work. Section 3 describes the proposed optimization framework. Our approach is illustrated via experiments in Section 4. Finally, some conclusions are drawn in Section 5.

2. DEGRADATION MODEL

Let $y \in \mathbb{R}^Q$ be a vector of observations related to an original signal $x \in [0, +\infty)^N$ through the model $y = z(x) + w$, where $z(x) = (z_i(x))_{1 \leq i \leq Q}$ and $w = (w_i)_{1 \leq i \leq Q}$ are realizations of mutually independent random vectors $Z(x) = (Z_i(x))_{1 \leq i \leq Q}$ and $W = (W_i)_{1 \leq i \leq Q}$ having independent components. It is further assumed that, for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, Q\}$,

\begin{align}
Z_i(x) &\sim \mathcal{P}_\alpha(\|Hx\|_1) \\
W_i &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2),
\end{align}

(1)

(2)
where $\mathbf{H}$ is a matrix in $[0, +\infty)^{Q \times N}$ modeling the degradation process (e.g., a convolution operator), $\alpha > 0$ is the scaling parameter of Poisson noise and $(b, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, +\infty)$ are the mean and standard deviation, respectively, of the Gaussian noise component. Hence, $y$ is a realization of a random vector $\mathbf{Y}$ with probability density function

$$p_Y(y; \mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^{Q} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\alpha_i |\mathbf{H}|}(\alpha_i |\mathbf{H}|)^n e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y_i-b-n)^2}}{n!} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}}$$

(3)

In the context of inverse problems, the original signal can be recovered by minimizing a penalized criterion:

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} \left( f(x) = g(x) + r(x) \right),$$

(4)

where $g$ is the so-called data fidelity term and $r$ is a regularization function incorporating a priori information, so as to guarantee the stability of the solution w.r.t. the observation noise. In the Bayesian framework, this allows us to compute the maximum a posteriori (MAP) estimate [13] of the original image. In this context, the data fidelity term is defined as the negative logarithm of $p_Y(y; \mathbf{x})$:

$$g(x) = -\log(p_Y(y; \mathbf{x}))$$

$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{Q} \log \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\alpha_i |\mathbf{H}|}(\alpha_i |\mathbf{H}|)^n e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y_i-b-n)^2}}{n!} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}}$$

and the regularization term $r$ corresponds to the potential of the chosen prior probability distribution. The gradient of $g$ on the positive orthant is given by

$$\nabla g(x) = \alpha \mathbf{H}^\top (1 - \mathbf{u}(\alpha \mathbf{H} x))$$

(6)

where, for every $\xi = (\xi_i)_{1 \leq i \leq Q} \in [0, +\infty)^Q$, $\mathbf{u}(\xi) = (s(\xi_i, y_i-b-1)/s(\xi_i, y_i-b))_{1 \leq i \leq Q}$, and, for every $(\xi, \nu) \in \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$s(\xi, \nu) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\xi^n}{n!} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(\nu-n)^2}.$$  

(7)

Based on the previous expressions, the following result can be proved.

**Theorem 1.** The function $g$ is convex and $\mu$-Lipschitz differentiable on $[0, +\infty)^N$ with

$$\mu = \alpha^2 \|\mathbf{H}\|^2 \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{1}{\sigma^2}} \right) \max_{i \in \{1, \ldots, Q\}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y_i-b-1)^2}.$$  

(8)

While a proof of the convexity property is provided in [1], the Lipschitz differentiability of $g$ is a novel result.

For the optimization methods that are developed in the next section, it is also important to note that the definition of the negative log-likelihood can be extended to the whole space $\mathbb{R}^N$ by setting

$$g(x) = h(x) + \iota_{[0, +\infty)^N}(x),$$

(9)

where

$$h(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{Q} \varphi_i(\alpha |\mathbf{H}|)$$

(10)

and

$$\iota_{[0, +\infty)^N}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in [0, +\infty)^N \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

(11)

Here, for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, Q\}$, $\varphi_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex, twice-differentiable function, whose expression is readily derived from (5) for non-negative values of its arguments, and which takes a quadratic form on $(-\infty, 0)$. By appropriately choosing the quadratic form, $h$ is a convex function with a $\mu$-Lipschitz gradient on $\mathbb{R}^N$.

**3. PROPOSED OPTIMIZATION METHOD**

**3.1. Minimization problem**

According to the analysis carried out in Section 2, the objective function takes the following form

$$f(x) = h(x) + r_0(x) + \sum_{m=1}^{M} r_m(L_m x),$$

(12)

where the regularization term has been split in a sum of simpler functions. More precisely, it will be assumed that $r_0 \in \Gamma_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and, for every $m \in \{1, \ldots, M\}$, $r_m \in \Gamma_0(\mathbb{R}^{p_m})$ and $L_m \in \mathbb{R}^{p_m \times N}$. Note that (12) covers a large range of penalization strategies. For instance, a sparsity prior in an analysis frame with frame operator $L_m$ is introduced by taking $g_m$ equal to $\lambda_m \|\cdot\|_1$ with $\lambda_m > 0$. Block sparsity measures [14] can also be easily addressed in the proposed framework. Another popular example in image restoration is the total variation penalization [15]. In this case, $P_m = 2^N$, $L_m = \left[ (\Delta^h)^\top (\Delta^v)^\top \right]^\top$, where $\Delta^h \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ (resp. $\Delta^v \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$) corresponds to a horizontal (resp. vertical) gradient operator, and, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $r_m(L_m x) = \lambda_m \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left( (\Delta^h x)_n^2 + (\Delta^v x)_n^2 \right)^{1/2}$ with $\lambda_m > 0$. The above penalties can be considered individually (M = 1) or combined in a hybrid manner (M > 1) [16]. Finally, following (9), $r_0$ should be equal to $\iota_{[0, +\infty)^N}$. However, to take into account the dynamic range of the expected output image, it can be more generally chosen equal to $\iota_C$, where $C$ is a closed convex subset of $[0, +\infty)^N$.

**3.2. Primal-dual splitting algorithm**

We are now ready to present our primal-dual splitting algorithm. We first require the notion of proximity operator.

$\Gamma_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the class of lower-semicontinuous, proper, convex functions from $\mathbb{R}^N$ to $(-\infty, +\infty)$. 
Definition 1. Let $\psi \in \Gamma_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$. For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, the minimization problem
\begin{equation}
\min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \psi(y) + \frac{1}{2} \|x - y\|^2
\end{equation}

admits a unique solution, which is denoted by $\text{prox}_\psi(x)$. The so-defined operator $\text{prox}_\psi : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ is the proximity operator of $\psi$.

Numerous convex optimization algorithms are based on this notion (see [17] for a tutorial). Problem (4) where $f$ takes the form (12) can be efficiently addressed using proximal splitting algorithms [18, 19, 11, 12]. The solution is obtained iteratively by evaluating the individual proximity operators of the functions $(r_m)_{0 \leq m \leq M}$, provided that they have an explicit expression. The main advantage of the primal-dual splitting algorithm that we employ is that it allows us to solve (4) for any Lipschitz differentiable function $f$.

Algorithm 1 Proposed algorithm.

Let $\gamma \in (0, +\infty)$. Let $(a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(c_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be some sequences of elements of $\mathbb{R}^N$ corresponding to possible errors in the computation of the gradient of $h$.

Initialization:
Set $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$, and $(\forall m \in \{1, \ldots, M\}) \; v_{m, 0} \in \mathbb{R}^p_m$.

Iterations:
For $k = 0, \ldots$
\begin{align*}
& y_{1, k} = x_k - \gamma \left( \nabla h(x_k) + \sum_{m=1}^M L_m^\top v_{m, k} \right) + a_k \\
& p_{1, k} = \text{prox}_{r_0} (y_{1, k}) \\
& \text{For } m = 1, \ldots, M \\
& \quad y_{2, m, k} = v_{m, k} + \gamma L_m x_k \\
& \quad p_{2, m, k} = y_{2, m, k} - \gamma \text{prox}_{r_m^{-1} r_m} (\gamma^{-1} y_{2, m, k}) \\
& \quad q_{2, m, k} = p_{2, m, k} + \gamma L_m p_{1, k} \\
& \quad v_{m, k+1} = v_{m, k} - y_{2, m, k} + q_{2, m, k} \\
& \quad q_{1, k} = p_{1, k} - \gamma \left( \nabla h(p_{1, k}) + \sum_{m=1}^M L_m^\top p_{2, m, k} \right) + c_k \\
& \quad x_{k+1} = x_k - y_{1, k} + q_{1, k}
\end{align*}

3.3. Convergence result

The convergence of the proposed primal-dual proximal splitting algorithm is guaranteed by the following result deduced from Theorem 1 and [11, Theorem 4.2]:

Theorem 2. Given the following assumptions:
(i) $f$ is coercive, i.e. $\lim_{\|x\| \to +\infty} f(x) = +\infty$,
(ii) for every $m \in \{1, \ldots, M\}$, $r_m$ is finite valued,
(iii) $\gamma \in [\epsilon, (1 - \epsilon)/\beta]$ where $\epsilon \in (0, 1/(\beta + 1))$ and
\begin{equation}
\beta = \mu + \sqrt{\sum_{m=1}^M \|L_m\|^2},
\end{equation}
then there exists a minimizer $\overline{x}$ of (12) such that the sequences $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(p_{1, k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converge to $\overline{x}$.

3.4. Implementation issues

Note that Algorithm 1 is robust to numerical errors. This feature is essential in our problem, as the gradient of the Poisson-Gaussian negative log-likelihood given by (6) involves infinite sums and cannot be computed exactly. We propose to perform the sum of (7) between $n_{\min}$ and $n_{\max}$, to include only the significant coefficient indices. Using Stirling’s formula leads us to $n_{\min} \simeq \max(\min(\xi, \upsilon) - \delta, 0)$ and $n_{\max} \simeq \max(\xi, \upsilon) + \delta$ where $\delta = 3 \max(\sigma, \sqrt{\xi})$.

4. SIMULATION EXAMPLES

We now demonstrate the practical performance of our method on image denoising and restoration experiments. The restoration involves the minimization of
\begin{equation}
f = h + \iota_C + \lambda \text{tv},
\end{equation}
where $\iota_C$ is the indicator function of $C = [0, 255]^N$, $\text{tv}$ denotes the total variation semi-norm as defined in Section 3.1 and $\lambda > 0$ is the regularization parameter. To reflect the Poisson-Gaussian noise statistics, we choose the data fidelity term $h$ to be either derived from the Generalized Anscombe Transform (GAST) or to be given by the exact expression in (5). The quality of the results is evaluated in terms of Mean Absolute Error (MAE). In our experiments, we use the standard house image of size $256 \times 256$ from (sipl.usc.edu/database/). To generate the observed image $y$, we degraded the original image with a convolution operator $H$, which reduces to identity in the pure denoising case and corresponds to a truncated Gaussian point spread function of standard deviation $0.5$ and kernel size $7 \times 7$ in the case of restoration. The image was further corrupted with a scaled Poisson noise and a zero-mean additive Gaussian noise. Table 1 presents the results of three experiments, which differ in the values of the scaling parameter $\alpha$ of the Poisson noise and the variance $\sigma^2$ of the Gaussian noise. Experiment 1 is defined by $\alpha = 0.05$ and $\sigma^2 = 9$, Experiment 2 by $\alpha = 0.1$ and $\sigma^2 = 16$ and Experiment 3 by $\alpha = 0.4$ and $\sigma^2 = 50$. For each model, parameter $\lambda$ was adjusted to achieve the minimum MAE under all experimental conditions.

Since our approach can cope with a wide range of data fidelity terms, we were able to compare its performance for the two models. GAST was handled in a manner similar to [20]. One can observe by inspecting the MAE values in Table 1, that the exact model leads to the best result. The difference is more significant for low-count images.
Table 1. Obtained MAE for different models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Denoising</th>
<th>Restoration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exp.1</td>
<td>Exp.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial image</td>
<td>63.61</td>
<td>43.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAST model</td>
<td>10.84</td>
<td>7.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exact model</td>
<td>8.90</td>
<td>7.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. (a, c) noisy image, and noisy blurred one, respectively. (b, d) resulting images using the exact Poisson-Gaussian model for denoising and restoration problems, respectively (α = 0.4, σ² = 50).

5. CONCLUSION

We have proposed a new variational approach for solving data recovery problems in the presence of Poisson-Gaussian noise. Taking advantage of the convexity and Lipschitz-differentiabilility properties of the Poisson-Gaussian negative log-likelihood, an efficient primal-dual proximal algorithm has been developed. Although the proposed framework was applied to TV-based image restoration, its versatility allows us to address a wide range of applications by making use of various forms of convex penalty functions.
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